

What British Columbians Really Think

About addictions and policy





This report summarizes results of a poll which was conducted late November 2016 by Mustel Group, on behalf of the InnerChange Foundation.

It was conducted from a panel generated through a random-sample. A total of 500 individuals participated.



Introduction

The InnerChange Foundation provides leadership, funding and advocacy to drive innovation and change in addressing addictions. Our Board, staff and advisors all appreciate that the topic of addictions is both an important and a difficult one. It is important because it impacts so many of us—either directly, or indirectly. And it is difficult because there are a range of opinions on the topic—opinions which elected officials must consider when making crucial decisions.

In light of the overdose epidemic, linked to fentanyl and other harmful substances, it is time for even more new thinking and action on this topic. We believe that our elected officials are all trying their best. We also believe that they would benefit from knowing what British Columbians think about the topic—including willingness to fund specific treatment approaches, as well as thoughts surrounding the legalization of certain substances and practic-

es. And they need to hear about people's experiences with the health care system and its responses to people coping with addictions.

While there is room for new thinking, British Columbians have also stressed caution in some arenas. They told us that anticipated marijuana legalization *must* be accompanied by protective measures to ensure that youth under



age 21 do not use substances inappropriately, recognizing that critical brain development is ongoing through age 25. This was particularly true for couples with children at home, but strong even for singles and couples without children at home.

In the spirit of leadership and advocacy, we will be sharing these results

with all elected officials at provincial and federal levels representing British Columbia, as well as key federal decision-makers with significant mandates. We appreciate that taking difficult decisions requires a range of facts and opinions. We hope these results give further incentive to our officials for exploring new options, and increasing funding to ensure that British Columbians in need get the help they deserve. About four in ten British Columbians have had experience with addiction to illicit drugs-either directly (through their own experience or that of an immediate family member), or less directly through extended family and/or friends. Addiction is not a fringe issue- it harms British Columbians and those they care about from all walks of life. We can, and we must do even more. The pages that follow provide a window on British Columbians'

experiences with, and perceptions of,


this critical topic. And an appendix at

the end gives details.

British Columbians' views on the cause and nature of addiction are complex.


Nearly six in ten (56%) believe that choice plays a role in addiction, but three in four (76%) also consider it a disease impacted by genetic and environmental factors.

People seemed most comfortable with the following sentence: "While addiction is a disease, there are elements of choice involved for people who face addictions."




Only 13% disagreed with the statement and 2% didn't know.

Nearly 45% of British Columbians have witnessed addiction first-hand: through their own experience or that of a close or extended family member or friend



British Columbians are very concerned about overdoses linked to fentanyl and want policymakers to respond.

84% are moderately or extremely concerned about this issue. Concerns are strong for both genders, but for women this is even stronger, with 9 out of 10 women (compared with 8 out of 10 men) expressing concern.




When asked what priority they would give to developing or adjusting regulations and programs to help prevent future overdose deaths linked to fentanyl, 87% of British Columbians considered this a medium or high priority.

And, across BC, three in four were clear that, even if it meant that health care costs would increase, they wanted a concerted response to this issue.


So what types of things were British Columbians willing to consider as possible responses? One idea they weighed in on was the possibility of testing or checking street drugs for possible contamination from fentanyl. With this type of program, people consuming illicit drugs but not wanting to consume fentanyl would have the ability to test their substances through non-judgmental programs. Just over 6 in 10 British Columbians (62%) agreed with making this type of service available. For British Columbians who had some personal experience with addiction (selves, family, friends), support was stronger, at nearly two-thirds (65%). Support was

Would support a program or service to test street drugs for possible contamination



strongest in Greater Victoria (72%) and less strong in the North (52%) and Southern Interior (56%). For those opposed, the most common reason was a belief that people who consume illicit drugs needed to take some personal responsibility. Second most common: better to use resources for other services.







We also asked British Columbians their views on other responses, including safe injection sites; better opioid substitution treatment programs; drug counselling and access to psycho-social care; and overdose training with peers, families and staff. The program getting the most support from British Columbians by far was drug counselling and access to psycho-social care, at 93% of all respondents. This support was consistent across regions. The next most supported program would involve providing overdose response training


for peers, families and staff. This option was supported by nearly 85% of British Columbians, with next to no regional variation. Among these four options, increasing the number of safe injection sites received less support. Still, across all regions roughly six in ten support this approach. And there was greater support in the City of Vancouver as well as Other Vancouver Island/ South Coast, at 69% respectively. The second most supported option was providing overdose response training for peers: 85% of British Columbians supported it, with no statistically significant regional variation. This will not address the larger issue of addiction, but it will save lives. There was also a healthy degree of support for

More than nine in ten British Columbians support making drug counselling and psycho-social care available to address addictions and overdoses.

better (and more) opioid substitution programs, with 77% of all British Columbians supporting this approach. There was particularly strong support for this among City of Vancouver residents, who have already gained familiarity with opioid substitution through initiatives like the NAOMI and SALOME trials, which the InnerChange Foundation helped fund



British Columbians agreeing that the stigma of addiction prevents people from getting help when they struggle.




Prescription painkiller dependence also impacts British Columbians. Almost 1 in 10 (9%) have had direct experience (themselves or an immediate family member) and over 2 in 10 have seen this arise for an extended family member or friend.

(see

http://innerchangefoundation.org/initiatives/#salome for details.

We also know that it can be difficult for people to get help when they begin having problems with illicit substances. Three in four British Columbians agree that the stigma associated with addictions prevents people from getting help when they struggle, especially in the early stages. People living in Metro Vancouver and the North were especially likely to find this true. And for those people who had directly experienced addiction (themselves or through an immediate family member), even reaching out for help had

challenges. When asked if the healthcare system gave the services needed to help with the challenges of managing the addiction and improving quality of life, nearly two-thirds of this group disagreed. And, addiction creates a significant impact on family and caregivers. And, among respondents from Greater Victoria, 90% disagreed. In contrast, 58% of those in the North and 57% in the Southern Interior disagreed. A higher proportion of those in the North selected the "do not know" option. For those with direct addiction experience, 80% find that this impact is a major one. (A further 16% find the impact moderate.)




Two-thirds of people with direct experience did not get the help they needed from British Columbia's health care system.

British Columbians are willing to have a thoughtful conversation on drug legalization—beyond marijuana. Recogniz-

ing that the status quo has many problems, British Columbians indicated some willingness to consider legalizing other drugs—nearly two-thirds (63%) were completely willing to consider this, or willing if they had more information on the risks and benefits. A further 17% was not currently willing to consider this, but interested in learning more about the risks of benefits, for a total of 80% at least open to have the conversation. Fewer people were completely unwilling to have the conversation in Metro Vancouver (19%), and more were completely unwilling in the North and Southern Interior (each at 27%).






Openness to legalizing other drugs varied for British Columbians, if they had children living at home. 42% of the latter


were not willing at present to consider legalizing other drugs (not willing now or not at all willing). In comparison, 35% of all adults in households without children, and 29% of adults living alone

held these views. On the flip side, 58% of all British Columbians with children at home expressed some degree of openness to legalization (comprising 22% completely in favour and 36% who could be persuaded with enough information on the risks and benefits). For adults in households without children under 21 at home, 65% held some degree of openness to legalization.

Education levels also had an impact on people's openness to legalization. People with a high school diploma or less reflected, on the whole, similar levels of some openness to legalization compared with other British Co-



Willingness to consider legalizing other drugs, based on level of education. (% of those who are, or could be, willing)




lumbians. But within this group, they were more likely to want more information on risks and benefits as opposed to completely willing (regardless of information) to consider legalization. At the other end of the spectrum, people with a post-graduate university education were considerably more likely to express some level of openness to legalization. People in this group accounted for 16% of the sample polled. They were also more likely to be completely willing (regardless of information) to consider legalization (35% of the total post-grad demographic).


British Columbians are accepting of forthcoming federal legalization of marijuana, but concerned about impacts on youth. With the Trudeau government de-

claring its intention to legalize marijuana in 2017, British Columbians indicated support for this decision (74%). But 84% wanted government to give medium or high priority to programs, policies or laws to prevent youth (12-21) from using marijuana recreational-

ly. Younger adults (18-34) showed somewhat weaker support (79%) compared to other age groups. This suggests there may be different understandings among this age group of the risks of youth marijuana usage, compared with use by adults over 21. And among those with children living at home under age 12, support rose to 92%. Couples with no children felt somewhat less strongly about wanting these initiatives (80%), as did singles with no children (82%); but these levels of support were still quite strong.



British Columbians level of support for programs, policies or laws to prevent youth from using marijuana recreationally.




People worry about youth in a context of marijuana legalization for several reasons. As

with alcohol, youth will need to receive health-related information related to marijuana use before they reach the legal age for using that substance (98% of British Columbians). British Columbians were also concerned about the impacts on ongoing brain development to age 25 (80% of British Columbians, and 85% of people with children under 21 still living at home). 94% also felt that we needed to learn from other jurisdictions like Oregon, which have legalized recreational marijuana and established programs and policies to prevent youth from using it. Almost eight in ten (79%) were concerned about respiratory and related harms which could result if youth were to smoke marijuana. Just over two-thirds (67%) felt that we still didn't know enough about the potential harms and benefits of marijuana due to a lack of research, and until that research exists society should discourage youth from using it. And, while open to legalization, British Columbians who use marijuana are still in the minority of the population. Nearly 7 in 10 (69%) in our province state they have either never tried it or only used it once/rarely. 25% use it occasional-

ly or regularly, with the remainder (6%) not willing to answer the question. People in the Southern Interior (30%) and Victoria area (29%) are somewhat more inclined to use it occasionally or regularly than for BC as a whole. In contrast, only 14% of people in Northern BC say they use it occasionally or regularly.

Reasons for concern about youth, when marijuana is legalized



Conclusion

British Columbians 'views on the cause and nature of addiction are complex. And nearly 45% of British Columbians have witnessed addiction first-hand: through their own experience or that of a close or extended family member or friend.

British Columbia residents are very concerned about overdoses linked to fentanyl and want policy-makers to respond. And, across BC, three in four were clear that, even if it meant that health care costs would increase, they wanted a concerted response to this issue.

People in our province have opinions about potential solutions. An over-whelming majority of British Columbians want to see people in need gain access to drug counselling and psychosocial care. And while safe injection sites were the least popular type of response, they still had the support of roughly six in ten British Columbians.

We know that it can be difficult for people to get help when they begin having problems with illicit substances; and British Columbians agree that stigma interferes with people reaching out for needed help. And two-thirds of those with some direct addictions experience did not feel needed care was provided from our health care system.



We heard that British Columbians are also willing to have a thoughtful conversation on drug legalization—beyond marijuana. This does not mean everyone is fully supportive, but it does convey willingness to learn more about the risks and benefits of such an approach.

We also heard that anticipated marijuana legalization *must* be accompanied by protective measures to ensure that youth under age 21 do not use substances inappropriately, recognizing that critical brain development is ongoing through age 25.

The InnerChange Foundation appreciates that making difficult policy decisions requires a range of facts and opinions. We hope the results we obtained, through the gracious donation of the Mustel Group, will give further incentive to our officials for exploring new options. We also hope that these can provide the impetus for increasing funding, to ensure that British Columbians in need get the help they deserve. Addiction is not a fringe issue—it harms British Columbians and those they care about from all walks of life. We can, and we must do even more.





The following pages are the detailed poll results.

Please note that, given the sample size in individual regions, regional data is only statistically significant if there is a 10% difference or greater. Use regional data with caution.



TABLE OF CONTENTS QUESTION #: PAGE #: PLEASE TELL US THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT ADDICTION. A) ADDICTION ARISES FROM INDIVIDUAL CHOICE. 1 PLEASE TELL US THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT ADDICTION. B) ADDICTION IS A DISEASE, IMPACTED BY ENVIRONMENTAL AND GENETIC FACTORS. 2 PLEASE TELL US THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT ADDICTION. C) ADDICTION IS A DISEASE. BUT THERE ARE ELEMENTS OF CHOICE INVOLVED FOR PEOPLE WHO FACE ADDICTIONS. 3 PLEASE TELL US THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT ADDICTION. D) ADDICTION IS A DISEASE, WITH VERY LITTLE REAL CHOICE FOR THOSE WHO FACE ADDICTIONS. PLEASE TELL US THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT ADDICTION. E) THE STIGMA ASSOCIATED WITH DRUG ADDICTION KEEPS MANY DRUG USERS AND/OR THEIR FAMILIES FROM TRYING TO GET HELP FOR DRUG USE/ADDICTION, ESPECIALLY IN THE EARLY STAGES. 2B. HAVE YOU OR ANYONE WITHIN YOUR IMMEDIATE FAMILY OR HOUSEHOLD STRUGGLED WITH AN ADDICTION TO CURRENTLY ILLICIT DRUGS, SUCH AS HEROIN, OTHER OPIOID-BASED DRUGS, MARIJUANA, COCAINE, OR METHAMPHETAMINE? 6 2C. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT OUR HEALTH CARE SYSTEM PROVIDED THE SERVICES NEEDED TO HELP ADDRESS THE CHALLENGES OF MANAGING THAT PERSON'S ADDICTION AND IMPROVING HIS OR HER QUALITY OF LIFE? BASE: TOTAL EVER STRUGGLED WITH AN ADDITION TO ILLICIT DRUGS 2D. HOW WOULD YOU CHARACTERIZE THE IMPACT OF ADDICTION ON THE HEALTH AND WELLBEING OF FAMILY MEMBERS/PRIMARY CAREGIVERS OF INDIVIDUALS DEALING WITH ADDICTION? BASE: TOTAL EVER STRUGGLED WITH AN ADDITION TO ILLICIT DRUGS 8 2EI. BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCES, HOW WELL WERE VARIOUS SERVICES FOR MENTAL HEALTH CO-ORDINATED OR INTEGRATED INTO ADDICTION TREATMENT? BASE: TOTAL EVER STRUGGLED WITH AN ADDITION TO ILLICIT DRUGS 9 2EII.IF MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES HAD BEEN BETTER CO-ORDINATED OR INTEGRATED INTO ADDICTION TREATMENT. THEN WHAT IMPACT WOULD THAT HAVE HAD ON YOUR EXPERIENCES? BASE: TOTAL EVER STRUGGLED WITH AN ADDITION TO ILLICIT DRUGS 10 2F. HAVE YOU OR ANYONE WITHIN YOUR IMMEDIATE FAMILY OR HOUSEHOLD BECOME DEPENDENT ON A PRESCRIPTION PAINKILLER? 11 2G. HAVE ANY OF YOUR EXTENDED FAMILY OR FRIENDS STRUGGLED WITH AN ADDICTION TO CURRENTLY ILLICIT DRUGS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO HEROIN, OTHER OPIOID-BASED DRUGS, MARIJUANA, COCAINE, METHAMPHETAMINE? 12 2H. HAVE ANY OF YOUR EXTENDED FAMILY OR FRIENDS BECOME DEPENDENT ON A PRESCRIPTION PAINKILLER? 13 3A. RECENTLY BC'S CORONER OBSERVED THAT THE DEATHS FROM DRUG OVERDOSES LINKED TO FENTANYL SURPASSED THE NUMBER OF DEATHS FROM MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENTS. FENTANYL IS A POTENT, SYNTHETIC OPIOID PAIN MEDICATION, OFTEN USED AS AN ANESTHETIC. FENTANYL IS ESTIMATED TO HAVE ABOUT 80 TIMES THE POTENCY OF MORPHINE. HOW CONCERNED ARE YOU ABOUT THE PROLIFERATION OF FENTANYL IN ILLICIT STREET DRUGS BEING SOLD AND CONSUMED IN OUR PROVINCE? 14 3B. WHAT PRIORITY WOULD YOU GIVE TO DEVELOPING OR ADJUSTING REGULATIONS AND PROGRAMS TO HELP PREVENT FUTURE OVERDOSE DEATHS LINKED TO FENTANYL? 15 3BII.IF HEALTH CARE COSTS NEEDED TO INCREASE IN ORDER TO DEVELOP OR ADJUST REGULATIONS AND PROGRAMS TO HELP PREVENT FUTURE OVERDOSE DEATHS LINKED TO FENTANYL. WHAT PRIORITY WOULD YOU GIVE THIS EFFORT? 16 3CI. SOME PEOPLE SAY THAT SOCIETY SHOULD HELP PREVENT FUTURE OVERDOSE DEATHS LINKED TO FENTANYL BY MAKING SERVICES AVAILABLE TO PROVIDE NON-JUDGMENTAL CHECKING OR TESTING OF STREET DRUGS FOR POSSIBLE FENTANYL CONTAMINATION. THE GOAL OF SUCH TESTING WOULD BE TO PROVIDE SOME QUALITY CONTROL OR ASSURANCES FOR PEOPLE WHO DO NOT WISH TO CONSUME FENTANYL BUT ARE STILL PURCHASING OTHER ILLICIT SUBSTANCES. THIS WOULD NOT STOP ILLICIT DRUG USE, BUT COULD HELP KEEP PEOPLE SAFE FROM A SUBSTANCE KNOWN TO BE EXTREMELY HARMFUL. TO WHAT EXTENT DO YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE THAT: OUR SOCIETY SHOULD PROVIDE SUCH DRUG CHECKING/TESTING SERVICES TO PREVENT FENTANYL OVERDOSES EVEN IF HEALTH CARE COSTS NEED TO INCREASE. 17 3CII.WHY DO YOU FEEL THAT WAY? BASE: TOTAL STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT DISAGREE THAT OUR SOCIETY SHOULD PROVIDE DRUG CHECKING/TESTING SERVICES 18 3E. REGARDLESS OF WHERE FUNDING COMES FROM (I.E., CURRENT OR NEW BUDGETS), WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE HEALTH AUTHORITIES IMPROVING THEIR SUBSTANCE USE SERVICES TO BETTER PREVENT OVERDOSE RELATED DEATH THROUGH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? A. MORE SAFE INJECTION FACILITIES 19

TABLE OF CONTENTS QUESTION #: PAGE #: 3E. REGARDLESS OF WHERE FUNDING COMES FROM (I.E., CURRENT OR NEW BUDGETS), WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE HEALTH AUTHORITIES IMPROVING THEIR SUBSTANCE USE SERVICES TO BETTER PREVENT OVERDOSE RELATED DEATH THROUGH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? 20 B. BETTER ACCESSIBLE SUBSTITUTION TREATMENT OF OPIATE DEPENDENT PATIENTS 3E. REGARDLESS OF WHERE FUNDING COMES FROM (I.E., CURRENT OR NEW BUDGETS), WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE HEALTH AUTHORITIES IMPROVING THEIR SUBSTANCE USE SERVICES TO BETTER PREVENT OVERDOSE RELATED DEATH THROUGH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? C. DRUG COUNSELLING AND ACCESS TO PSYCHOSOCIAL CARE 21 3E. REGARDLESS OF WHERE FUNDING COMES FROM (I.E., CURRENT OR NEW BUDGETS), WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE HEALTH AUTHORITIES IMPROVING THEIR SUBSTANCE USE SERVICES TO BETTER PREVENT OVERDOSE RELATED DEATH THROUGH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? D. OVERDOSE RESPONSE TRAINING WITH PEERS, FAMILIES, STAFF 22 3F. HOW WOULD YOU PRIORITIZE THESE FOUR CHOICES? TOP PRIORITY 23 3F. HOW WOULD YOU PRIORITIZE THESE FOUR CHOICES? TOP 2 PRIORITIES 24 3F. HOW WOULD YOU PRIORITIZE THESE FOUR CHOICES? MEAN RANK (LOWER IS BETTER) 25 3G. WOULD YOU SUPPORT OTHER MEASURES TO BETTER PREVENT OVERDOSE RELATED DEATH, SUCH AS THE LEGALIZATION OF SOME OTHER DRUGS, IN ADDITION TO MARTIUANA? 26 4AI. THE CANADIAN FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN EXPLICIT ABOUT ITS INTENTIONS TO LEGALIZE MARIJUANA. HOW CONCERNED ARE YOU WITH THIS DECISION, IF AT 27 ALL? 4AII. DO YOU SUPPORT OR OPPOSE LEGALIZING (RECREATIONAL USE OF) MARIJUANA IN CANADA? 28 4B. IF RECREATIONAL USE OF MARIJUANA WAS LEGALIZED IN CANADA, WHAT PRIORITY, IF ANY, SHOULD BE GIVEN TO CREATING SPECIAL PROGRAMS, POLICIES OR LAWS 29 TO PREVENT YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA RECREATIONALLY? 4C. DO YOU THINK THAT COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH EDUCATION ADDRESSING MARIJUANA AND OTHER DRUG USE SHOULD BE PROVIDED TO YOUTH IN SCHOOL SETTINGS? 30 4D. DO YOU THINK SCHOOLS SHOULD PROVIDE PROGRAMMING (E.G. DRUG AND ALCOHOL COUNSELLING) TO SUPPORT STUDENTS WHO ARE HAVING PROBLEMS WITH SUBSTANCE USE? 31 4E. PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS FOR CREATING NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES AIMED AT PREVENTING YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA. A. AS WITH ALCOHOL, YOUTH WILL NEED TO RECEIVE HEALTH INFORMATION RELATED TO MARIJUANA USE BEFORE THEY REACH THE LEGAL AGE FOR USING THAT SUBSTANCE. BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY 32 4E. PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS FOR CREATING NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES AIMED AT PREVENTING YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA. B. AS WITH TOBACCO. I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE RESPIRATORY AND RELATED HARMS WHICH COULD RESULT IF YOUTH CHOOSE TO SMOKE MARIJUANA AS OPPOSED TO INGESTING IT THROUGH OTHER MEANS. 33 BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY 4E. PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS FOR CREATING NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES AIMED AT PREVENTING YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA. C. I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF MARIJUANA ON ONGOING BRAIN DEVELOPMENT, WHICH EXPERTS ADVISE REMAINS ONGOING UNTIL APPROXIMATELY 34 AGE 25. BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY 4E. PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS FOR CREATING NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES AIMED AT PREVENTING YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA. D. I AM CONCERNED THAT WE STILL DON'T UNDERSTAND ENOUGH ABOUT THE POTENTIAL HARMS AND BENEFITS OF MARIJUANA DUE TO A LACK OF RESEARCH. UNTIL THAT RESEARCH EXISTS, SOCIETY SHOULD DISCOURAGE YOUTH FROM CONSUMING IT. BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY 35

TABLE OF CONTENTS QUESTION #: PAGE #: 4E. PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS FOR CREATING NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES AIMED AT PREVENTING YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA. E. OTHER JURISDICTIONS LIKE OREGON WHICH HAVE LEGALIZED MARIJUANA HAVE CREATED SPECIAL NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES TO PREVENT YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA RECREATIONALLY, AND WE SHOULD LEARN FROM THEIR EXPERIENCE WITH THIS. 36 BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY 4E. PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS FOR CREATING NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES AIMED AT PREVENTING YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA. F. ANY OTHER REASONS? 37 BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY 4F. RETURNING TO ALL THE ANSWERS YOU SELECTED. WHICH ONE RESPONSE IS THE MOST COMPELLING REASON TO YOU FOR HAVING SPECIAL NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES TO PREVENT YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA RECREATIONALLY? BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY 38 HAVE YOU PERSONALLY EVER USED MARIJUANA? 40 6. WHAT IS THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION YOU HAVE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO COMPLETE? 41 WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBE YOUR CURRENT HOUSEHOLD? 42 7. WHAT AREA OF THE PROVINCE DO YOU LIVE IN? 43

INTO WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING AGE GROUPS DO YOU FALL?

YOUR GENDER:

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERVIEWS

В.

44

45

46

1. PLEASE TELL US THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT ADDICTION.
A) ADDICTION ARISES FROM INDIVIDUAL CHOICE.

		CE	NDER		AGE					REG	ION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE C	18-34 D		55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	IMM. FAMILY ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTION P
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
STRONGLY AGREE	72	40	31	19	24	29	33	8	25	39	6	4	6	22	22	33
	14.3	16.8	11.9	14.4	12.9	15.7	12.4	11.2	12.8	16.6	14.7	8.8	17.0	20.6 G	20.1	14.9
AGREE	209	101	108	51	86	72	112	27	84	98	10	27	19	4 <u>1</u>	44	90
	41.9 к	42.0	41.8	37.9	47.4	39.3	42.0 к	37.0	44.0 к	41.7 K	24.9	56.7 HKN	52.8 к	38.0	40.1	40.2
DISAGREE	147	69	78	39	53	55	83	21	62	64	14	12	6	32	21	61
	29.5 o	28.7	30.2	29.0	29.3	30.1	31.4 M	28.6	32.5 M	27.3	34.4 M	25.0	15.4	29.5	19.5	27.2
STRONGLY DISAGREE	53	25	28	21	16	15	30	13	17	23	6	3	5	9	17	32
	10.6	10.4	10.8	15.9	8.8	8.4	11.4	17.5 I	9.0	9.6	13.4	7.0	12.6	8.3	15.3	14.5
DON'T KNOW	19	5	13	4	3	12	7	4	3	11	5	1	1	4	5	7
	3.7	2.2	5.2	2.8	1.6	6.5 E	2.8	5.8	1.7	4.8	12.7 GIN	A 2.5	2.2	3.5	4.9	3.2
TOTAL AGREE	281	141	139	70	110	101	144	35	109	137	17	31	25	64	66	123
	56.2 K	58.8	53.7	52.3	60.3	55.1	54.4	48.2	56.8 к	58.3 K	39.5	65.5 к	69.8 HK	58.6 K	60.3	55.1
TOTAL DISAGREE	200	94	106	60	69	70	114	34	80	87	20	15	10	4 <u>1</u>	38	93
	40.0	39.0	41.1	44.9	38.1	38.4	42.8	46.1	41.5	36.9	47.8	32.0	28.0	37.9	34.8	41.7

1. PLEASE TELL US THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT ADDICTION.
B) ADDICTION IS A DISEASE, IMPACTED BY ENVIRONMENTAL AND GENETIC FACTORS.

		CEN	NDER		AGE					REG	ION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D		55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	INM. FAVILLY ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTION P
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
STRONGLY AGREE	133	56	77	49	44	40	81	28	52	53	9	10	12	22	25	59
	26.7	23.5	29.9	36.4 I	EF24.2	22.0	30.4 JN	38.7 JL	NA 27.3	22.5	22.2	21.2	32.2	19.8	22.8	26.2
AGREE	245	120	123	65	99	81	129	31	98	116	21	24	19	51	57	116
	48.9	50.1	47.7	48.2	54.0	F 44.4	48.6	42.2	51.0	49.4	49.0	51.3	53.3	47.3	51.5	51.8
DISAGREE	65	35	30	11	24	30	29	8	21	35	8	9	5	14	14	24
	13.0	14.4	11.7	8.3	13.1	16.1	11.0	10.7	11.2	15.1	18.0	19.0	12.7	13.1	12.6	10.9
STRONGLY DISAGREE	29 5.8	15 6.4	13 5.0	4 2.9	11 6.2	14 7.6	16 5.9	2.0	14 7.5	13 5.7	3 6.6	-	1 1.7	10 9.2 н	10 9.0	15 6.8
DON'T KNOW	28 5.6	13 5.6	15 5.7	5 4.1	4 2.4	18 9.9 EA	11 4.1	5 6.5	6 3.1	17 7.4	2 4.2	4 8.5	-	11 10.6 GI	5 4.2	10 4.3
TOTAL AGREE	378	176	200	114	143	122	210	59	150	168	30	34	31	73	82	174
	75.6 F	73.6	77.6	84.6	F 78.2	F 66.4	79.0 N	80.9 N	78.2 N	71.8	71.2	72.4	85.6 N	67.2	74.3	78.1
TOTAL DISAGREE	94	50	43	15	35	43	45	9	36	49	10	9	5	24	24	39
	18.8	20.8	16.8	11.3	19.3	23.7 D	17.0	12.6	18.6	20.8	24.6	19.0	14.4	22.3	21.6	17.6

1. PLEASE TELL US THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT ADDICTION.

C) ADDICTION IS A DISEASE, BUT THERE ARE ELEMENTS OF CHOICE INVOLVED FOR PEOPLE WHO FACE ADDICTIONS.

		GEN	DEB		AGE					REG	ION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D		55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTION P
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	240 100.0	258 100.0	134 100.0	183 100.0	183 100.0	266 100.0	73 100.0	192 100.0	234 100.0	42 100.0	47 100.0	36 100.0	109 100.0	110 100.0	223 100.0
STRONGLY AGREE	124 24.8	63 26.5	60 23.1	33 24.8	48 26.4	42 23.2	65 24.4	17 23.6	47 24.7	59 25.2	8 18.1	13 27.0	10 28.1	29 26.3	27 25.0	54 24.3
AGREE	302 60.3	137 57.1	164 63.6	82 60.8	109 59.7	111 60.6	159 60.0	42 57.1	117 61.1	142 60.8	28 66.9	27 56.9	23 62.8	64 59.4	65 58.6	129 57.6
DISAGREE	40 7.9	20 8.3	20 7.7	10 7.2	14 7.6	16 8.8	22 8.2	6 8.6	15 8.1	18 7.7	5 10.9	3 6.9	3 9.1	7 6.2	6 5.6	19 8.5
STRONGLY DISAGREE	24 4.8	16 6.7 C	7 2.8	7 4.9	9 4.7	9 4.9	15 5.7	3 4.5	12 6.2	9 3.9	1 2.4	1 2.4	-	7 6.4	7.0 8	16 7.2
DON'T KNOW	10 2.1	3 1.5	7 2.7	3 2.3	3 1.6	5 2.5	5 1.7	5 6.2 GA	-	6 2.5	1 1.7	3 6.8 G	-	2 1.8	4 3.8	5 2.3
TOTAL AGREE	426 85.1	200 83.5	224 86.8	115 85.6	157 86.1	154 83.8	224 84.4	59 80.7	165 85.8	202 86.0	36 84.9	40 83.9	33 90.9	93 85.6	92 83.6	183 82.0
TOTAL DISAGREE	64 12.8	36 15.0	27 10.5	16 12.1	23 12.3	25 13.8	37 13.9	10 13.1	27 14.2	27 11.5	6 13.3	9.3	3 9.1	14 12.6	14 12.5	35 15.7

1. PLEASE TELL US THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT ADDICTION.
D) ADDICTION IS A DISEASE, WITH VERY LITTLE REAL CHOICE FOR THOSE WHO FACE ADDICTIONS.

		GEND	NED.		AGE					REGIO	ON				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A		FEMALE C	18-34 D		55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTION0	DRUG ADDICTION P
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
STRONGLY AGREE	57	27	30	12	23	22	36	12	25	21	2	6	4	9	13	29
	11.4	11.1	11.8	9.3	12.6	11.8	13.7	16.2	12.8	8.8	4.3	11.7	11.3	8.4	11.6	13.1
AGREE	156	72	83	49	56	51	95	26	69	61	17	15	9	20	30	69
	31.1 N	29.9	32.2	36.6	30.5	27.7	35.7 JN	35.1 N	36.0 JN	25.9	39.9 N	31.0	24.7	18.7	27.4	31.2
DISAGREE	203	97	106	50	73	79	100	27	73	102	13	21	17	52	41	82
	40.5	40.4	41.0	37.3	40.3	43.1	37.7	37.3	37.9	43.7	30.5	43.6	45.8	48.2	37.2	36.8
STRONGLY DISAGREE	60 12.1	37 15.4 C	22 8.5	14 10.4	22 12.3	24 13.1	24 8.9	4 5.1	20 10.4	37 15.7 GH	5 12.5	9.3	6 15.8 н	21 19.6 GHIA	21 19.1	35 15.9
DON'T KNOW	24	7	17	9	8	8	10	5	6	14	5	2	1	6	5	7
	4.9	3.1	6.5	6.4	4.3	4.2	3.9	6.3	3.0	5.9	12.7 GIA	4.4	2.3	5.1	4.7	3.1
TOTAL AGREE	213	98	114	62	79	72	131	38	94	81	19	20	13	29	43	99
	42.5 JN	41.0	44.0	45.8	43.2	39.5	49.4 JN	51.3 JN	48.8 JN	34.7	44.3 N	42.7	36.0	27.1	39.0	44.2
TOTAL DISAGREE	263	134	128	64	96	103	124	31	93	139	18	25	22	74	62	118
	52.6	55.9	49.5	47.7	52.5	56.3	46.6	42.4	48.3	59.4 GHI	43.0	52.8	61.7 н	67.8 GHIKA	A 56.3	52.7

1. PLEASE TELL US THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT ADDICTION.

E) THE STIGMA ASSOCIATED WITH DRUG ADDICTION KEEPS MANY DRUG USERS AND/OR THEIR FAMILIES FROM TRYING TO GET HELP FOR DRUG USE/ADDICTION, ESPECIALLY IN THE EARLY STAGES.

		C	NDER		AGE					REG	ION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE C	18-34 D	35-54 E	55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
STRONGLY AGREE	168	80	88	56	66	46	95	40	56	72	10	16	13	34	43	89
	33.5 F	33.2	34.1	41.5 F	36.0	F 25.2	35.8	53.9 GIJ	KL28.9	30.9	24.3	33.5	34.5	31.0	39.0	39.8
AGREE	211	101	108	59	69	83	<u>11</u> 9	23	97	91	16	19	17	39	37	78
	42.1	42.2	41.8	43.6	38.1	45.1	44.9 н	30.8	50.3 нэл	39.0	38.5	39.7	47.0	36.2	33.3	34.8
DISAGREE	74	38	35	13	32	29	30	8	23	43	8	7	3	24	21	36
	14.8	16.1	13.4	9.6	17.4	16.0	11.5	10.6	11.8	18.5 G	19.9	15.2	9.3	22.5 GHIA	19.3	16.4
STRONGLY DISAGREE	17	6	11	4	5	9	9	2	7	8	1	2	1	4	6	9
	3.5	2.7	4.3	2.6	2.5	5.1	3.5	3.1	3.7	3.4	2.4	4.4	1.7	4.0	5.5	3.8
DON'T KNOW	31	14	17	4	11	16	11	1	10	19	6	3	3	7	3	12
	6.1	5.8	6.5	2.7	6.1	8.7	4.3	1.6	5.3	8.2 н	14.9 сні	A 7.2	7.5	6.3	2.9	5.2
TOTAL AGREE	378	181	196	114	135	129	214	62	152	164	26	35	30	73	80	166
	75.6	75.5	75.9	85.1 F	74.0	70.3	80.8 JKN	84.7 JKN	79.2 JKN	69.8	62.8	73.2	81.5	67.2	72.3	74.7
TOTAL DISAGREE	91	45	46	16	36	39	40	10	30	51	9	9	4	29	27	45
	18.3	18.7	17.6	12.2	19.9	21.0	15.0	13.7	15.5	22.0 G	22.3	19.6	11.1	26.5 GHIM	24.8	20.2

2B. HAVE YOU OR ANYONE WITHIN YOUR IMMEDIATE FAMILY OR HOUSEHOLD STRUGGLED WITH AN ADDICTION TO CURRENTLY ILLICIT DRUGS, SUCH AS HEROIN, OTHER OPIOID-BASED DRUGS, MARIJUANA, COCAINE, OR METHAMPHETAMINE?

		CEI	NDER		AGE					REC	GION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D			TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTION P
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	240 100.0	258 100.0	134 100.0	183 100.0	183 100.0	266 100.0	73 100.0	192 100.0	234 100.0	42 100.0	47 100.0	36 100.0	109 100.0	110 100.0	223 100.0
YES	110 22.0 F	49 20.6	59 22.9	43 32.0	40 F 22.1	27 F 14.6	50 18.9	14 18.8	36 18.9	60 25.6	11 25.2	13 27.1	9 24.7	27 25.3	110 100.0 PA	110 49.4 A
NO	373 74.6 P	183 76.5	189 73.2	88 65.2	138 75.4	148 80.7 D	209 78.5 J	57 77.5	152 78.9 J	164 70.2	30 70.6	34 71.1	26 70.6	75 69.5	-	106 47.5
NOT SURE	17 3.4	7 2.9	10 3.8	4 2.8	5 2.5	9 4.6	7 2.6	3 3.7	4 2.2	10 4.3	2 4.2	1 1.8	2 4.7	6 5.2	-	7 3.1

2C. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT OUR HEALTH CARE SYSTEM PROVIDED THE SERVICES NEEDED TO HELP ADDRESS THE CHALLENGES OF MANAGING THAT PERSON'S ADDICTION AND IMPROVING HIS OR HER QUALITY OF LIFE?

BASE: TOTAL EVER STRUGGLED WITH AN ADDITION TO ILLICIT DRUGS

		Œ	NDER		AGE					REG	ION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE C	18-34 D		55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	103	48	53	23	52	28	51	16	35	52	7	11	10	24	103	103
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	110 100.0	49 100.0	59 100.0	43 100.0	40 100.0	27 100.0	50 100.0	14 100.0	36 100.0	60 100.0	11 100.0	13 100.0	9 100.0	27 100.0	110 100.0	110 100.0
STRONGLY AGREE	7 6.4	5 9.3	2.6	8.7	3 6.3	2.7	5 10.5	2 16.4	3 8.3	2 2.9	-	-	-	6.2	7 6.4	7 6.4
AGREE	22 20.1	9 18.7	13 21.9	5 12.3	10 24.5	7 26.1	10 19.1	2 13.5	8 21.2	13 21.0	9.7	17.7 2	18.9 2	8 27.6	22 20.1	22 20.1
DISAGREE	50 45.9	23 46.8	27 45.0	21 49.9	17 42.8	12 44.0	19 37.3	2 12.8	17 46.6	32 53.1	6 55.2	8 58.6	4 48.8	14 51.0	50 45.9	50 45.9
STRONGLY DISAGREE	21 19.2	9 17.7	12 21.1	7 16.5	20.9	6 21.1	12 23.6	6 40.7	6 17.2	9 15.6	4 35.1	3 23.7	9.3	6.3	21 19.2	21 19.2
DON'T KNOW	9 8.4	4 7.5	6 9.4	5 12.5	2 5.4	6.2	5 9.4	2 16.5	6.7	5 7.5	-	-	22.9	8.9	9 8.4	9 8.4
TOTAL AGREE	29 26.5	14 28.0	14 24.5	9 21.0	12 30.8	8 28.7	15 29.6	4 30.0	11 29.5	14 23.8	9.7	17.7	18.9 2	9 33.8	29 26.5	29 26.5
TOTAL DISAGREE	72 65.1	32 64.4	39 66.1	29 66.4	26 63.8	17 65.0	31 60.9	7 53.5	23 63.7	41 68.6	10 90.3	11 82.3	5 58.2	16 57.3	72 65.1	72 65.1

2D. HOW WOULD YOU CHARACTERIZE THE IMPACT OF ADDICTION ON THE HEALTH AND WELLBEING OF FAMILY MEMBERS/PRIMARY CAREGIVERS OF INDIVIDUALS DEALING WITH ADDICTION? BASE: TOTAL EVER STRUGGLED WITH AN ADDITION TO ILLICIT DRUGS

		Œ	NDER		AGE					REC	SION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D	35-54		TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTION0	DRUG ADDICTION P
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	103	48	53	23	52	28	51	16	35	52	7	11	10	24	103	103
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	110 100.0	49 100.0	59 100.0	43 100.0	40 100.0	27 100.0	50 100.0	14 100.0	36 100.0	60 100.0	11 100.0	13 100.0	9 100.0	27 100.0	110 100.0	110 100.0
MAJOR IMPACT	88 79.8	40 80.6	47 80.0	30 69.8	33 82.3	25 92.2	43 86.4	11 79.8	32 88.9	44 74.3	7 65.8	11 83.0	6 67.6	21 75.7	88 79.8	88 79.8
MODERATE IMPACT	18 16.4	7 14.2	11 18.7	1 <u>1</u> 25.4	5 12.6	7.8	5 9.5	2 16.7	6.7	13 22.3	4 34.2	2 17.0	9.5	7 24.3	18 16.4	18 16.4
MINOR IMPACT	2 1.9	0 1.0	1 1.3	-	2 5.1	-	2 4.1	0 3.4	2 4.4	-	-	-	-	-	2 1.9	2 1.9
NO IMPACT	2 1.9	4.2	-	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	3.4	-	-	22.9	-	1.9	2 1.9
TOTAL MODERATE/MAJOR	106 96.2	47 94.9	58 98.7	41 95.2	38 94.9	27 100.0	48 95.9	13 96.6	35 95.6	58 96.6	11 100.0	13 100.0	7 77.1	27 100.0	106 96.2	106 96.2
TOTAL MINOR/NONE	4 3.8	3 5.1	1 1.3	2 4.8	2 5.1	-	2 4.1	0 3.4	2 4.4	2 3.4	-	-	2 22.9	-	4 3.8	4 3.8

2EI. BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCES, HOW WELL WERE VARIOUS SERVICES FOR MENTAL HEALTH CO-ORDINATED OR INTEGRATED INTO ADDICTION TREATMENT? BASE: TOTAL EVER STRUGGLED WITH AN ADDITION TO ILLICIT DRUGS

		Œ	NDER		AGE					REG	ION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE C	18-34 D		55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTION P
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	103	48	53	23	52	28	51	16	35	52	7	11	10	24	103	103
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	110 100.0	49 100.0	59 100.0	43 100.0	40 100.0	27 100.0	50 100.0	14 100.0	36 100.0	60 100.0	11 100.0	13 100.0	9 100.0	27 100.0	110 100.0	110 100.0
VERY WELL	6 5.3	1 1.7	4 6.9	2 3.6	3 8.0	3.8	3 6.3	2 11.3	2 4.4	3 4.4	9.7	-	-	2 5.9	6 5.3	6 5.3
SOMEWHAT WELL	16 14.7	5 11.0	10 16.8	9 21.0	4 11.1	3 10.1	9 18.1	3 21.4	6 16.9	7 11.9	-	-	16.4	6 20.6	16 14.7	16 14.7
SOMEWHAT POORLY	33 29.8	14 29.3	18 31.0	16 36.5	9 21.4	9 31.7	14 27.8	1 7.9	13 35.4	19 31.5	4 38.1	3 24.4	3 36.0	8 30.7	33 29.8	33 29.8
VERY POORLY	32 28.9	17 35.1	14 24.5	11 25.7	15 37.2	6 21.4	18 35.9	7 54.3	11 28.9	14 22.9	4 35.1	5 40.0	8.1	4 15.2	32 28.9	32 28.9
DON'T KNOW	24 21.4	11 22.8	12 20.8	6 13.2	9 22.3	9 33.1	6 11.9	1 5.2	5 14.5	18 29.3 G	2 17.1	5 35.6	4 39.4	8 27.7	24 21.4	24 21.4
TOTAL WELL	22 20.0	6 12.8	14 23.7	11 24.6	8 19.1	4 13.9	12 24.4	4 32.6	8 21.2	10 16.3	9.7	-	1 16.4	7 26.4	22 20.0	22 20.0
TOTAL POORLY	65 58.7	32 64.4	33 55.5	27 62.2	24 58.6	14 53.0	32 63.7	9 62.2	23 64.3	33 54.4	8 73.2	8 64.4	4 44.1	13 45.9	65 58.7	65 58.7

2EII.IF MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES HAD BEEN BETTER CO-ORDINATED OR INTEGRATED INTO ADDICTION TREATMENT, THEN WHAT IMPACT WOULD THAT HAVE HAD ON YOUR EXPERIENCES? BASE: TOTAL EVER STRUGGLED WITH AN ADDITION TO ILLICIT DRUGS

		Œ	NDER		AGE					REG	SION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D			TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	INVI. FAVILLY ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	103	48	53	23	52	28	51	16	35	52	7	11	10	24	103	103
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	110 100.0	49 100.0	59 100.0	43 100.0	40 100.0	27 100.0	50 100.0	14 100.0	36 100.0	60 100.0	11 100.0	13 100.0	9 100.0	27 100.0	110 100.0	110 100.0
MAJOR IMPACT	50 45.7	18 37.4	32 53.9	25 59.2	14 35.1	11 39.9	24 48.4	9 66.3	15 41.6	26 43.4	7 69.3	7 57.6	3 34.1	8 29.9	50 45.7	50 45.7
MODERATE IMPACT	36 32.9	20 40.1	16 26.4	11 26.8	15 38.4	9 34.4	14 28.7	5 33.7	10 26.8	22 36.4	21.0	3 24.4	3 35.9	13 48.0	36 32.9	36 32.9
MINOR IMPACT	10 9.0	5 9.6	5 8.8	2 5.1	4 9.6	4 14.5	8 15.8 J	-	8 21.8 JA	2 3.4	9.7	-	-	1 3.6	10 9.0	10 9.0
NO IMPACT	14 12.4	6 13.0	6 10.9	4 8.9	7 16.9	3 11.3	4 7.1	-	4 9.8	10 16.8	-	18.0 2	30.0	5 18.5	14 12.4	14 12.4
TOTAL MODERATE/MAJOR	86 78.6	38 77.4	47 80.3	37 86.0	30 73.5	20 74.2	39 77.0	14 100.0	25 68.3	48 79.8	10 90.3	11 82.0	6 70.0	21 77.9	86 78.6	86 78.6
TOTAL MINOR/NONE	24 21.4	11 22.6	12 19.7	6 14.0	11 26.5	7 25.8	12 23.0	-	12 31.7	12 20.2	9.7	2 18.0	3 30.0	6 22.1	24 21.4	24 21.4

2F. HAVE YOU OR ANYONE WITHIN YOUR IMMEDIATE FAMILY OR HOUSEHOLD BECOME DEPENDENT ON A PRESCRIPTION PAINKILLER?

		CEI	NDER		AGE					REC	GION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE	FEMALE	18-34 D		-	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTION P
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	240 100.0	258 100.0	134 100.0	183 100.0	183 100.0	266 100.0	73 100.0	192 100.0	234 100.0	42 100.0	47 100.0	36 100.0	109 100.0	110 100.0	223 100.0
YES	44 8.8	20 8.5	23 8.9	13 9.7	16 8.8	15 8.2	22 8.4	7 9.5	15 8.0	22 9.3	5 10.7	7 15.4	2 4.5	8 7.7	28 25.8 PA	35 15.8 A
NO	442 88.4 OP	215 89.7	226 87.5	118 87.7	161 88.2	163 89.2	238 89.5	65 88.0	173 90.1	204 87.2	35 83.2	39 82.1	35 95.5	96 88.1	76 69.0	180 80.6 o
NOT SURE	14 2.7	4 1.8	9 3.7	4 2.7	5 2.9	5 2.6	5 2.1	2 2.5	4 1.9	8 3.5	3 6.1	1 2.4	-	5 4.2	6 5.2	8 3.6

2G. HAVE ANY OF YOUR EXTENDED FAMILY OR FRIENDS STRUGGLED WITH AN ADDICTION TO CURRENTLY ILLICIT DRUGS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO HEROIN, OTHER OPIOID-BASED DRUGS, MARIJUANA, COCAINE, METHAMPHETAMINE?

		Œ	NDER		AGE					REG	ION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D		55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTION
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	203	106	95	69	86	48	100	24	76	103	13	26	19	45	90	203
	40.6 F	44.2	37.0	51.5 I	47.0	F 26.2	37.5	33.0	39.3	44.1	31.1	54.0 GHK	53.2 нк	41.7	81.8 A	91.0 OA
NO	260	120	139	57	84	119	149	43	106	111	26	17	15	53	13	13
	52.0 OF	50.2	54.0	42.4	46.2	64.9 D	EA 56.2 JL	58.7 L	55.2 L	47.3	62.8 LM	36.5	40.4	48.4	12.2	6.0
NOT SURE	37	13	23	8	12	16	17	6	11	20	3	5	2	11	7	7
	7.4 P	5.6	9.1	6.1	6.8	8.9	6.3	8.4	5.5	8.6	6.1	9.5	6.4	9.9	5.9	2.9
SUMMARY OF EXPERIENCE WITH DRUG ADDICTION																
PERSONAL/ IMMEDIATE FAMILY EXPERIENCE WITH DRUG	110	49	59	43	40	27	50	14	36	60	11	13	9	27	110	110
	22.0 F	20.6	22.9	32.0 I	= 22.1	F 14.6	18.9	18.8	18.9	25.6	25.2	27.1	24.7	25.3	100.0 PA	49.4 A
ANY EXPERIENCE WITH DRUG ADDICTION (SELF, IMMEDIATE FAWILY, EXTENDED FAWILY OR FRIENDS)	223 44.6 F	115 48.0	106 41.2	71 52.8 I	97 = 53.1	55 FA30.1	109 41.2	26 36.0	83 43.2	114 48.5 K	13 31.1	27 56.2 HK	21 57.7 GHK	53 (48.7	110 100.0 A	223 100.0 A

2H. HAVE ANY OF YOUR EXTENDED FAMILY OR FRIENDS BECOME DEPENDENT ON A PRESCRIPTION PAINKILLER?

		C	NDER	AGE =							PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT				
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D		55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	108	46	61	43	34	31	53	19	35	54	9	16	10	19	48	87
	21.6	19.3	23.6	32.1 I	EF18.5	17.0	20.1	25.6	18.0	23.3	21.2	33.7 GIN	28.3	17.8	44.0 A	38.8 A
NO	309	159	149	73	111	125	171	45	126	139	27	20	20	72	42	89
	61.9 L0	OP 66.2	57.8	54.7	60.7	68.3 D	64.2 L	61.1	65.5 L	59.2 L	64.0	42.9	53.5	66.2 L	37.9	39.8
NOT SURE	83	35	48	18	38	27	42	10	32	41	6	11	7	17	20	48
	16.6	14.5	18.6	13.2	20.8	14.7	15.6	13.3	16.5	17.6	14.8	23.4	18.2	15.9	18.0	21.4

3A. RECENTLY BC'S CORONER OBSERVED THAT THE DEATHS FROM DRUG OVERDOSES LINKED TO FENTANYL SURPASSED THE NUMBER OF DEATHS FROM MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENTS. FENTANYL IS A POTENT, SYNTHETIC OPIOID PAIN MEDICATION, OFTEN USED AS AN ANESTHETIC. FENTANYL IS ESTIMATED TO HAVE ABOUT 80 TIMES THE POTENCY OF MORPHINE. HOW CONCERNED ARE YOU ABOUT THE PROLIFERATION OF FENTANYL IN ILLICIT STREET DRUGS BEING SOLD AND CONSUMED IN OUR PROVINCE?

		CEN	GENDER		AGE					REG	ION				PERSONAL/	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE	FEMALE	18-34 D			TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	IMM. FAMILY ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	240 100.0	258 100.0	134 100.0	183 100.0	183 100.0	266 100.0	73 100.0	192 100.0	234 100.0	42 100.0	47 100.0	36 100.0	109 100.0	110 100.0	223 100.0
EXTREMELY CONCERNED	259 51.8 в	105 43.7	154 59.6 BA	68 51.0	93 50.7	98 53.4	140 52.9	34 46.5	106 55.3	119 50.6	20 46.4	22 45.8	20 55.6	57 52.7	67 60.9	124 55.5
MODERATELY CONCERNED	162 32.3	83 34.8	78 30.4	40 29.8	58 31.8	64 34.7	81 30.6	25 33.7	57 29.4	80 34.3	14 32.4	18 39.1	13 35.8	35 32.5	27 24.7	68 30.6
SLIGHTLY CONCERNED	48 9.7	30 12.5 C	18 7.1	14 10.1	19 10.4	16 8.6	30 11.2	11 14.8 M	19 9.8	19 8.0	7.7	5 10.4	1 1.7	10 9.1	8 7.5	17 7.8
NOT AT ALL CONCERNED	31 6.2	22 9.1 c	8 2.9	12 9.0	13 F 7.1	6 3.2	14 5.4	4 5.0	11 5.5	17 7.1	6 13.5	4.8	6.9	6 5.7	8 6.9	14 6.1
TOTAL CONCERNED	421 84.1	188 78.4	232 89.9 BA	109 80.9	151 82.5	162 88.1	222 83.4	59 80.2	163 84.7	199 84.9	33 78.8	40 84.9	33 91.4	92 85.2	94 85.6	192 86.1
TOTAL NOT CONCERNED	79 15.9 C	52 21.6 C	26 10.1	26 19.1	32 17.5	22 11.9	44 16.6	15 19.8	29 15.3	35 15.1	9 21.2	7 15.1	3 8.6	16 14.8	16 14.4	31 13.9

3B. WHAT PRIORITY WOULD YOU GIVE TO DEVELOPING OR ADJUSTING REGULATIONS AND PROGRAMS TO HELP PREVENT FUTURE OVERDOSE DEATHS LINKED TO FENTANYL?

		Œ	NDER		AGE					PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT					
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE C	18-34 D			TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HIGH PRIORITY	261	115	145	62	96	103	141	42	99	120	20	19	25	57	66	128
	52.2	48.2	56.1	46.3	52.7	56.0	53.0	56.6	51.7	51.3	46.4	40.6	68.2 JKL	52.1	59.7	57.5
MEDIUM PRIORITY	173	87	86	60	56	57	96	26	69	78	16	22	8	32	29	66
	34.7	36.4	33.4	44.8	EF30.6	31.3	36.0	36.0	35.9	33.2	37.7	46.0 M	21.7	29.8	26.8	29.4
LOW PRIORITY	48	25	23	10	21	18	20	3	17	28	7	6	2	13	9	20
	9.6	10.5	8.9	7.2	11.4	9.6	7.6	4.0	8.9	12.0 н	15.9 н	13.4	5.1	12.2 н	8.5	9.0
NOT A PRIORITY	17 3.5	12 4.9 c	4 1.5	2 1.6	10 5.3	5 3.0	9 3.4	2 3.4	7 3.4	8 3.5	-	-	2 5.1	6 5.9	5 5.0	9 4.2
TOTAL MEDIUM/HIGH	434	203	231	122	152	160	236	68	168	198	35	41	33	89	95	194
	86.9	84.6	89.5	91.1	83.2	87.4	89.0	92.6 N	87.6	84.5	84.1	86.6	89.8	81.9	86.5	86.8
TOTAL LOW/NOT A PRIORITY	66	37	27	12	31	23	29	5	24	36	7	6	4	20	15	29
	13.1	15.4	10.5	8.9	16.8	12.6	11.0	7.4	12.4	15.5	15.9	13.4	10.2	18.1 н	13.5	13.2

3BII.IF HEALTH CARE COSTS NEEDED TO INCREASE IN ORDER TO DEVELOP OR ADJUST REGULATIONS AND PROGRAMS TO HELP PREVENT FUTURE OVERDOSE DEATHS LINKED TO FENTANYL, WHAT PRIORITY WOULD YOU GIVE THIS EFFORT?

		GENDER AGE					REGION PERSONAL/ AN									
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D	35-54	55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	INVI. FAVILLY ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	W/ ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HIGH PRIORITY	159	72	87	32	62	65	81	23	58	77	15	13	12	38	48	87
	31.7	29.9	33.7	23.6	34.0	35.4	30.6	31.4	30.3	33.0	35.2	27.6	32.2	34.8	43.3 A	38.9
MEDIUM PRIORITY	217	97	119	75	68	74	126	38	88	91	15	19	18	38	37	85
	43.3	40.4	46.2	56.0 i	EF37.1	40.3	47.5 JN	52.1 JN	45.8	38.6	35.6	41.2	50.7	34.6	33.4	38.3
LOW PRIORITY	76	44	31	16	32	29	35	7	28	41	9	10	1	21	11	28
	15.2 м	18.5 C	12.0	11.9	17.4	15.6	13.1 M	9.7	14.4 M	17.6 M	22.5 M	22.1 M	1.7	19.1 M	9.8	12.7
NOT A PRIORITY	48	27	21	11	21	16	23	5	18	25	3	4	6	12	15	23
	9.7	11.2	8.1	8.5	11.5	8.8	8.7	6.8	9.5	10.7	6.7	9.2	15.4	11.4	13.6	10.2
TOTAL MEDIUM/HIGH	375	168	206	107	130	139	207	61	146	168	30	32	30	75	84	172
	75.1	70.3	79.9 в	79.6	71.1	75.7	78.1	83.5 JN	76.1	71.6	70.8	68.7	82.9	69.4	76.7	77.2
TOTAL LOW/NOT A PRIORITY	125	71	52	27	53	45	58	12	46	67	12	15	6	33	26	51
	24.9	29.7 C	20.1	20.4	28.9	24.3	21.9	16.5	23.9	28.4 н	29.2	31.3	17.1	30.6 н	23.3	22.8

3CI. SOME PEOPLE SAY THAT SOCIETY SHOULD HELP PREVENT FUTURE OVERDOSE DEATHS LINKED TO FENTANYL BY MAKING SERVICES AVAILABLE TO PROVIDE NON-JUDGMENTAL CHECKING OR TESTING OF STREET DRUGS FOR POSSIBLE FENTANYL CONTAVINATION. THE GOAL OF SUCH TESTING WOULD BE TO PROVIDE SOME QUALITY CONTROL OR ASSURANCES FOR PEOPLE WHO DO NOT WISH TO CONSUME FENTANYL BUT ARE STILL PURCHASING OTHER ILLICIT SUBSTANCES. THIS WOULD NOT STOP ILLICIT DRUG USE, BUT COULD HELP KEEP PEOPLE SAFE FROM A SUBSTANCE KNOWN TO BE EXTREMELY HARMFUL. TO WHAT EXTENT DO YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE THAT:

OUR SOCIETY SHOULD PROVIDE SUCH DRUG CHECKING/TESTING SERVICES TO PREVENT FENTANYL OVERDOSES EVEN IF HEALTH CARE COSTS NEED TO INCREASE.

		CEN	NDER	AGE =							PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT				
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D	35-54	55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	INM. FAVILLY ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
STRONGLY AGREE	118	59	59	37	35	46	70	29	41	48	16	10	4	19	28	57
	23.6	24.7	22.7	27.9	19.0	24.9	26.2 м	39.1 G	5JLM21.3	20.6	37.2 IJ	MN 20.2	11.5	17.4	25.4	25.6
AGREE	190	87	104	56	73	62	97	19	78	94	15	22	15	42	42	89
	38.0 н	36.1	40.2	41.6	39.8	33.7	36.4	25.9	40.4 н	39.9 н	34.9	47.4 н	40.1	38.5	38.1	39.9
DISAGREE	92	47	44	17	32	43	45	10	35	48	4	8	8	28	15	34
	18.5	19.6	17.2	12.6	17.6	23.6 D	16.8	13.4	18.1	20.4	9.4	16.5	22.7	25.6 HK	13.3	15.2
STRONGLY DISAGREE	61	35	24	14	26	21	31	8	23	30	3	4	7	16	17	26
	12.2	14.7	9.4	10.1	14.3	11.7	11.8	11.6	11.8	12.7	7.0	8.9	19.6	14.3	15.5	11.8
DON'T KNOW	39	11	27	10	17	11	24	7	16	15	5	3	2	5	8	17
	7.7	4.8	10.5 в	7.8	9.3	6.1	8.9	10.0	8.4	6.4	11.5	7.0	6.1	4.3	7.7	7.6
TOTAL AGREE	308	146	162	93	107	107	166	48	118	142	30	32	19	61	70	146
	61.6	60.9	62.9	69.5	58.8	58.6	62.6	65.0	61.6	60.5	72.1	67.6	51.6	55.9	63.5	65.4
TOTAL DISAGREE	153	82	69	30	58	65	76	18	58	78	7	12	15	43	32	60
	30.7	34.3	26.6	22.7	31.9	35.3	28.5	24.9	29.9	33.1 к	16.4	25.4	42.3 K	39.9 GHK	28.8	27.0

3CII.WHY DO YOU FEEL THAT WAY?
BASE: TOTAL STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT DISAGREE THAT OUR SOCIETY SHOULD PROVIDE DRUG CHECKING/TESTING SERVICES

		GEI	NDER		AGE					PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT					
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE C	18-34 D	35-54	55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTION0	DRUG ADDICTION P
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	159	87	69	16	74	69	78	20	58	81	7	11	18	45	31	64
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	153 100.0	82 100.0	69 100.0	30 100.0	58 100.0	65 100.0	76 100.0	18 100.0	58 100.0	78 100.0	7 100.0	12 100.0	15 100.0	43 100.0	32 100.0	60 100.0
THERE HAS TO BE SOME ELEMENT OF PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY (FROM THE ADDICT) / NOT SYMPATHETIC / THEY KNOW THE RISKS	63 40.9	32 39.2	29 42.0	10 32.6	24 40.7	29 45.0	28 36.3	4 22.9	23 40.5	35 45.4	3 42.2	4 36.5	8 54.8	20 45.1	12 36.3	21 35.7
WASTE OF MONEY / RESOURCES SHOULD BE APPLIED ELSEWHERE	52 33.7	25 30.6	27 38.7	11 35.0	21 35.3	21 31.7	25 33.1	6 34.4	19 32.6	27 34.4	2 29.7	4 35.6	4 23.3	17 38.8	11 34.8	21 35.6
IT WOULD BE ENABLING THEIR ADDICTION / LEGITIMIZES ILLICIT DRUG USE	42 27.6	26 32.2	16 23.1	12 38.8	20 35.1 F	10 = 15.6	26 34.9 J	10 52.4	17 29.3	16 20.5	2 28.0	16.5	11.0 2	10 23.7	8 26.2	16 26.4
SHOULD FOCUS ON TREATMENTS / SOLUTIONS TO GET THEM TO STOP USING DRUGS	27 17.5	14 17.1	13 18.5	4 12.9	12 20.4	11 16.9	12 15.9	6.7	11 18.8	15 19.0	1 14.8	5 45.5	9.1	7 15.9	8 24.4	15 25.1
FOCUS ON CRIME PREVENTION (I.E. ARRESTING DEALERS, STOPPING THE DRUGS FROM BEING IMPORTED FROM CHINA)	16 10.2	11 13.4	4 5.6	-	9 14.8	7 10.9	9 11.3	7.0	7 12.7	7 9.2	-	8.7	3 16.5	4 8.1	6 18.3	9 15.1
ADDICTS WOULDN'T GET THEIR DRUGS TESTED	9 5.6	2.7	6 9.2	-	4 6.4	5 7.5	4 5.5	1 4.2	3 6.0	4 5.6	-	9.7	3.7	3 6.1	2 5.2	4 6.9
IT WOULD BE BETTER TO REGULATE / LEGALIZE THE DRUGS	5 3.4	2.1	3 3.8	5.8	2 2.7	2.8	3 3.4	-	3 4.5	3 3.3	-	-	10.3	1 2.2	2 5.5	2 2.9
NO COMMENT	3 2.2	-	3 4.9	2 5.8	2 2.7	-	-	-	-	3 4.3	-	7.9	1 4.1	2 4.1	2 5.6	3 5.6

3E. REGARDLESS OF WHERE FUNDING COMES FROM (I.E., CURRENT OR NEW BUDGETS), WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE HEALTH AUTHORITIES IMPROVING THEIR SUBSTANCE USE SERVICES TO BETTER PREVENT OVERDOSE RELATED DEATH THROUGH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING?

A. MORE SAFE INJECTION FACILITIES

		Œ	I DER		AGE					PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT					
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D	35-54		TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	IMM. PAMILY ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTION0	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	300	142	158	85	100	115	158	50	108	142	26	32	21	63	60	139
	59.9	59.2	61.2	63.6	54.7	62.5	59.5	68.5	56.0	60.5	61.4	68.6	56.8	57.8	54.7	62.2
NO	105	60	43	19	44	42	60	14	45	45	5	7	7	26	25	42
	20.9	25.2 c	16.8	14.3	24.0	22.7	22.5	19.7	23.6	19.0	11.6	15.3	18.7	23.7	22.4	18.8
NOT SURE	96	37	57	30	39	27	48	9	39	48	<u>11</u>	8	9	20	25	42
	19.2	15.6	22.0	22.2	21.3	14.8	18.0	11.8	20.4	20.5	27.0 н	16.1	24.5	18.5	22.9	19.0

3E. REGARDLESS OF WHERE FUNDING COMES FROM (I.E., CURRENT OR NEW BUDGETS), WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE HEALTH AUTHORITIES IMPROVING THEIR SUBSTANCE USE SERVICES TO BETTER PREVENT OVERDOSE RELATED DEATH THROUGH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING?

B. BETTER ACCESSIBLE SUBSTITUTION TREATMENT OF OPIATE DEPENDENT PATIENTS

		CD.	NDER		AGE					PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT					
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D	35-54		TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	INM. PAVILITIES ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTION	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	387	190	196	106	134	146	206	63	143	181	32	40	27	82	81	171
	77.4	79.5	75.8	79.2	73.5	79.9	77.5	86.0 I	74.2	77.2	75.2	84.4	74.5	75.8	73.3	76.9
NO	46	27	18	11	19	16	21	5	17	25	4	4	3	14	16	23
	9.3	11.3	6.9	8.4	10.4	8.9	8.0	6.2	8.7	10.7	9.6	9.1	7.6	12.9	15.0	10.3
NOT SURE	67	22	45	17	29	21	38	6	33	28	6	3	7	12	13	29
	13.3	9.2	17.3 в	12.4	16.1	11.3	14.5	7.7	17.0 н	12.1	15.2	6.5	17.8	11.3	11.7	12.9

3E. REGARDLESS OF WHERE FUNDING COMES FROM (I.E., CURRENT OR NEW BUDGETS), WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE HEALTH AUTHORITIES IMPROVING THEIR SUBSTANCE USE SERVICES TO BETTER PREVENT OVERDOSE RELATED DEATH THROUGH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING?
C. DRUG COUNSELLING AND ACCESS TO PSYCHOSOCIAL CARE

		Œ	NDER		AGE					REC	GION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D	35-54		TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTION P
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	240 100.0	258 100.0	134 100.0	183 100.0	183 100.0	266 100.0	73 100.0	192 100.0	234 100.0	42 100.0	47 100.0	36 100.0	109 100.0	110 100.0	223 100.0
YES	462 92.5	218 91.0	243 94.1	128 95.7	170 92.9	165 89.8	249 93.7	70 96.0	178 92.8	214 91.2	37 88.7	46 97.6	34 92.1	97 89.0	103 93.9	213 95.4
NO	16 3.1	10 4.1	5 1.9	2 1.3	6 3.4	8 4.2	6 2.1	0 0.6	5 2.6	10 4.3	1 2.1	1 2.4	3.9	7 6.1 GH	3 2.3	4 1.9
NOT SURE	22 4.4	12 4.8	10 4.0	4 3.0	7 3.8	11 6.1	11 4.3	2 3.4	9 4.6	11 4.5	4 9.2	-	1 4.1	5 4.9	4 3.8	6 2.7

3E. REGARDLESS OF WHERE FUNDING COMES FROM (I.E., CURRENT OR NEW BUDGETS), WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE HEALTH AUTHORITIES IMPROVING THEIR SUBSTANCE USE SERVICES TO BETTER PREVENT OVERDOSE RELATED DEATH THROUGH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING?
D. OVERDOSE RESPONSE TRAINING WITH PEERS, FAMILIES, STAFF

		GENDER AGE								REC	SION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D	35-54		TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	423	199	223	117	146	161	227	63	163	197	35	41	31	90	91	189
	84.7	83.1	86.3	87.0	80.0	87.6 E	85.3	86.0	85.0	84.0	83.5	86.2	84.5	83.0	82.3	84.8
NO	34	27	6	9	17	8	15	2	13	19	2	2	4	11	12	19
	6.8 C	11.2 C	CA 2.4	6.4	9.2	4.6	5.5	2.3	6.7	8.3	5.3	4.6	10.8 н	10.2 н	11.2	8.4
NOT SURE	43	14	29	9	20	14	24	9	16	18	5	4	2	7	7	15
	8.5	5.7	11.3 в	6.6	10.7	7.8	9.2	11.7	8.2	7.8	11.2	9.2	4.7	6.8	6.5	6.8

3F. HOW WOULD YOU PRIORITIZE THESE FOUR CHOICES? TOP PRIORITY $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

		GENDER AGE =								REGI	ON				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE	FEMALE	18-34 D	35-54		TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	INM. FAVILLY ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	240 100.0	258 100.0	134 100.0	183 100.0	183 100.0	266 100.0	73 100.0	192 100.0	234 100.0	42 100.0	47 100.0	36 100.0	109 100.0	110 100.0	223 100.0
DRUG COUNSELLING AND ACCESS TO PSYCHOSOCIAL CARE	227 45.4 M	97 40.5	128 49.8 в	66 48.9	86 47.4	75 40.9	131 49.2 LM	36 49.2 м	95 49.2 LM	96 41.2	18 41.8	15 31.3	11 28.9	54 49.3 LM	47 42.8	98 43.9
BETTER ACCESSIBLE SUBSTITUTION TREATMENT OF OPIATE DEPENDENT PATIENTS	101 20.2	45 18.6	56 21.6	26 19.4	38 20.9	37 20.2	56 21.3	14 18.8	43 22.2	45 19.0	8 18.4	11 22.3	7 19.7	19 17.7	27 24.6	40 17.9
OVERDOSE RESPONSE TRAINING WITH PEERS, FAMILIES, STAFF	95 19.1	53 22.1	42 16.4	18 13.1	34 18.7	44 23.8	47 17.8	11 15.4	36 18.7	48 20.6	5 11.0	10 21.2	14 37.2 GH	20 IJK18.4	22 20.0	46 20.4
MORE SAFE INJECTION FACILITIES	76 15.3	45 18.7 C	31 12.2	25 18.6	24 13.0	28 15.1	31 11.8	12 16.6	19 9.9	45 19.2 GI	12 28.8 GI	12 NA 25.2 GI	5 14.2	16 14.7	14 12.6	40 17.8

3F. HOW WOULD YOU PRIORITIZE THESE FOUR CHOICES? TOP 2 PRIORITIES

		GENDER AGE							REC	ION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 35-1 DE-	54 55+	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71 23	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	240 100.0	258 100.0	134 18 100.0 100.0		266 100.0	73 100.0	192 100.0	234 100.0	42 100.0	47 100.0	36 100.0	109 100.0	110 100.0	223 100.0
DRUG COUNSELLING AND ACCESS TO PSYCHOSOCIAL CARE	369 73.8 F	174 72.7	192 74.6	109 14 81.5 F 78.		207 78.0 JL	57 77.9	150 78.1 JL	162 69.1	28 65.4	30 63.4	25 69.6	79 72.8	87 79.0	165 74.1
BETTER ACCESSIBLE SUBSTITUTION TREATMENT OF OPIATE DEPENDENT PATIENTS	1 248 49.6	121 50.5	125 48.6	58 9 43.6 51.3		135 50.9	35 47.2	100 52.3	113 48.1	23 55.3	23 49.1	16 43.5	50 46.4	54 49.4	101 45.1
OVERDOSE RESPONSE TRAINING WITH PEERS, FAMILIES, STAFF	235 46.9	113 47.3	120 46.7	57 83 42.4 45.5	95 51.7	118 44.4	32 43.5	86 44.8	117 49.8	17 39.7	23 48.6	23 62.0 GI	54 K 50.0	54 48.8	112 50.3
MORE SAFE INJECTION FACILITIES	5 148 29.7	71 29.5	78 30.1	44 45 32.5 24.5		71 26.7	23 31.4	48 24.8	77 33.0	17 39.5	18 38.8	9 24.9	33 30.7	25 22.9	68 30.5

3F. HOW WOULD YOU PRIORITIZE THESE FOUR CHOICES?

		Œ	JDER		AGE					REG	ION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D			TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	240 100.0	258 100.0	134 100.0	183 100.0	183 100.0	266 100.0	73 100.0	192 100.0	234 100.0	42 100.0	47 100.0	36 100.0	109 100.0	110 100.0	223 100.0
DRUG COUNSELLING AND ACCESS TO PSYCHOSOCIAL CARE	1.89	1.96	1.83	1.71	1.82	2.09	1.81	1.79	1.83	1.97	2.01	2.10	2.09	1.87	1.84	1.90
BETTER ACCESSIBLE SUBSTITUTION TREATMENT OF OPIATE DEPENDENT PATIENTS	2.51	2.49	2.53	2.64	2.47	2.45	2.44	2.45	2.44	2.59	2.46	2.61	2.61	2.62	2.46	2.63
OVERDOSE RESPONSE TRAINING WITH PEERS, FAMILIES, STAFF	2.55	2.53	2.58	2.71	2.56	2.43	2.60	2.73	2.55	2.51	2.81	2.55	2.21	2.48	2.55	2.50
MORE SAFE INJECTION FACILITIES	3.04	3.01	3.07	2.93	3.15	3.02	3.15	3.04	3.19	2.93	2.72	2.74	3.09	3.04	3.15	2.98

3G. WOULD YOU SUPPORT OTHER MEASURES TO BETTER PREVENT OVERDOSE RELATED DEATH, SUCH AS THE LEGALIZATION OF SOME OTHER DRUGS, IN ADDITION TO MARIJUANA?

		GENDER AGE								REG	SION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE C	18-34 D		55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTION O	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
I COULD BE PERSUADED TO CONSIDER THIS, IF I UNDERSTOOD THE RISKS AND BENEFITS BETTER, AND BELIEVED THAT APPROPRIATE CHECKS AND BALANCES COULD BE CREATED	185	85	99	45	67	73	96	28	68	89	24	22	12	30	32	72
	36.9	35.4	38.4	33.4	36.6	39.8	36.2	37.8	35.6	37.8	56.4 G	IJMN47.2 N	33.4	28.0	29.1	32.5
I AM COMPLETELY IN FAVOUR OF	128	76	52	55	42	32	67	23	44	62	11	14	12	25	45	81
THIS APPROACH	25.7 F	31.6 (20.1	40.7 i	EF23.0	17.3	25.1	31.3	22.7	26.4	26.0	29.3	33.2	22.9	41.2 A	36.3 A
I AM NOT AT ALL WILLING TO CONSIDER THIS	102	50	52	15	44	43	51	13	38	52	5	8	10	30	19	44
	20.5	20.8	20.0	10.9	24.3 [23.6 D	19.1	17.7	19.7	22.0	10.9	16.1	26.6	27.3 к	17.3	19.6
I AM NOT WILLING TO CONSIDER THIS RIGHT NOW, BUT WOULD LIKE TO LEARN MORE ABOUT THE RISKS AND BENEFITS OF SUCH AN APPROACH	85	29	55	20	29	35	52	10	42	32	3	3	3	24	14	26
	16.9	12.2	21.5 в	14.9	16.0	19.3	19.6 к	13.2	22.1 JK	ILM 13.8	6.7	7.4	6.9	21.8 KLM	12.4	11.6

4AI. THE CANADIAN FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN EXPLICIT ABOUT ITS INTENTIONS TO LEGALIZE MARIJUANA. HOW CONCERNED ARE YOU WITH THIS DECISION, IF AT ALL?

		CD.	IDED	AGE REGION										PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP.
	TOTAL A	MALE B	IDER FEMALEC	18-34 35	-54 55+ EF	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	IMM. FAMILY ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	W/ ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTION P
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71 2	34 195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	240 100.0	258 100.0	134 1 100.0 100	83 183 .0 100.0	266 100.0	73 100.0	192 100.0	234 100.0	42 100.0	47 100.0	36 100.0	109 100.0	110 100.0	223 100.0
EXTREMELY CONCERNED	39 7.9	17 7.1	22 8.4	6 4.2 9	18 16 .9 8.6	16 6.0	6 8.2	10 5.2	23 9.9	1.7	4 9.1	5 13.5 к	13 12.3 GI	10 9.5	19 8.7
VERY CONCERNED	55 10.9	22 9.2	33 12.6	10 7.7 11	20 24 .1 13.1	35 13.2	9 12.8	26 13.4	20 8.3	3 6.4	3 6.9	2 4.7	12 10.9	13 11.8	22 9.7
MODERATELY CONCERNED	67 13.4	32 13.5	34 13.3	15 11.0 14	26 26 .2 14.2	41 15.4	8 11.1	33 17.0	26 11.1	8.7	5 10.8	7 19.8	10 9.1	15 13.6	28 12.3
SLIGHTLY CONCERNED	95 19.0	38 15.8	57 22.1	18 13.4 20	37 40 .1 22.0	54 20.3	14 18.7	40 20.9	41 17.5	10 24.3	7 15.7	5 12.5	19 17.4	14 12.7	31 13.8
NOT AT ALL CONCERNED	244 48.9	130 54.4 (112 43.5	85 63.7 EF44	82 77 .7 42.2	120 45.1	36 49.1	84 43.6	125 53.1	25 58.8	27 57.5	18 49.5	55 50.2	58 52.4	124 55.5
TOTAL CONCERNED	94 18.8	39 16.3	54 21.0	16 11.9 20	38 40 .9 21.7	51 19.2	15 21.0	36 18.6	43 18.3	8.1	8 16.0	7 18.2	25 23.2 K	23 21.3	41 18.4
TOTAL NOT CONCERNED	339 67.9	168 70.3	169 65.7	103 1 77.1 F 64	18 118 .8 64.1	174 65.4	50 67.9	124 64.4	166 70.7	35 83.1 GI	35 IMA 73.2	23 61.9	73 67.7	72 65.1	154 69.3

4AII. DO YOU SUPPORT OR OPPOSE LEGALIZING (RECREATIONAL USE OF) MARIJUANA IN CANADA?

		GENDEF	D		AGE					PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT					
	TOTAL A	MALE FE	EMALE -C		35-54	55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	INM. FAVILLY ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0		258 00.0	134 100.0 1	183 .00.0	183 100.0	266 100.0	73 100.0	192 100.0	234 100.0	42 100.0	47 100.0	36 100.0	109 100.0	110 100.0	223 100.0
STRONGLY SUPPORT	170 34.0 F	97 40.6 C 2	72 27.9	72 53.4 EF	53 29.3	45 24.6	82 30.7	29 39.5 I	53 27.4	88 37.7 I	16 38.1	19 40.5	14 38.3	39 36.2	56 50.6 A	104 46.7 A
SUPPORT	199 39.7 P	89 37.0	109 42.4	38 28.4	74 40.3	87 47.5 D	111 41.6	24 32.7	87 45.0	88 37.6	19 44.3	18 38.7	12 31.9	40 36.5	33 29.7	69 30.8
OPPOSE	80 16.0	34 14.0 1	46 18.0	19 14.4	31 16.9	30 16.2	49 18.5	16 21.8	33 17.3	31 13.1	6 15.2	5 11.0	6 15.1	14 12.6	13 11.4	29 12.8
STRONGLY OPPOSE	51 10.3	20 8.5 1	30 11.7	5 3.9	25 13.5 D	22 11.8	24 9.1	4 6.1	20 10.3	27 11.6	1 2.4	5 9.8	5 14.7 K	16 14.8 к	9 8.3	22 9.6
TOTAL SUPPORT	369 73.8		181 70.3	110 81.7 E	127 69.7	132 72.0	192 72.4	53 72.2	139 72.5	177 75.3	35 82.4	37 79.3	26 70.1	79 72.6	88 80.3	173 77.5
TOTAL OPPOSE	131 26.2	54 22.5 2	77 29.7	25 18.3	55 30.3 D	51 28.0	73 27.6	20 27.8	53 27.5	58 24.7	7 17.6	10 20.7	11 29.9	30 27.4	22 19.7	50 22.5

4B. IF RECREATIONAL USE OF MARIJUANA WAS LEGALIZED IN CANADA, WHAT PRIORITY, IF ANY, SHOULD BE GIVEN TO CREATING SPECIAL PROGRAMS, POLICIES OR LAWS TO PREVENT YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA RECREATIONALLY?

		Œ	IDER		AGE					REG.	ION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D			TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTION P
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HIGH PRIORITY	262	107	155	65	102	95	144	38	106	118	16	21	25	55	48	109
	52.3 в	44.5	60.1 BA	48.5	55.7	51.7	54.0	51.5	55.0	50.4	38.5	45.4	68.2 JKL	51.1	44.1	48.8
MEDIUM PRIORITY	161	85	74	40	53	67	83	21	62	78	18	19	7	33	40	73
	32.1	35.3	28.8	30.1	29.0	36.7	31.2	28.4	32.3	33.2	43.4 M	41.2 M	18.3	30.7	36.0	32.6
LOW PRIORITY	57	35	22	25	20	12	29	13	16	29	8	5	1	15	17	32
	11.5	14.7 (8.6	18.5 I	= 11.0	6.8	10.8	17.4 IM	8.3	12.3 M	18.1 M	10.9	1.7	14.1 M	15.4	14.5
NOT A PRIORITY	20 4.1	13 5.5	6 2.5	4 2.8	8 4.2	9 4.8	11 4.0	2 2.6	9 4.5	10 4.2	-	1 2.4	4 11.8 GHJ	4 A 4.0	5 4.6	9 4.1
TOTAL MEDIUM/HIGH	422	191	229	106	155	162	226	59	168	196	34	4 <u>1</u>	32	89	88	181
	84.4	79.8	88.9 в	78.6	84.7	88.4 D	85.2	80.0	87.3	83.5	81.9	86.7	86.5	81.8	80.0	81.4
TOTAL LOW/NOT A PRIORITY	78	48	29	29	28	21	39	15	24	39	8	6	5	20	22	42
	15.6	20.2 c	21.1	21.4 I	15.3	11.6	14.8	20.0	12.7	16.5	18.1	13.3	13.5	18.2	20.0	18.6

4C. DO YOU THINK THAT COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH EDUCATION ADDRESSING MARIJUANA AND OTHER DRUG USE SHOULD BE PROVIDED TO YOUTH IN SCHOOL SETTINGS?

		GENI	REGION ————————————————————————————————————									PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT			
	TOTAL A	MALE	FEMALE	18-34 D			TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	240 100.0	258 100.0	134 100.0	183 100.0	183 100.0	266 100.0	73 100.0	192 100.0	234 100.0	42 100.0	47 100.0	36 100.0	109 100.0	110 100.0	223 100.0
STRONGLY AGREE	288 57.7	125 52.0	163 63.2 в	84 62.8	107 58.8	97 52.7	162 61.0	47 63.9 L	115 59.8	126 53.9	20 47.7	21 45.1	22 60.9	63 57.8	60 54.8	129 57.8
AGREE	195 39.0	105 44.0 C	88 34.0	48 35.5	64 35.2	83 45.2 E	99 37.4	26 35.1	74 38.2	96 40.8	20 48.5	22 46.4	13 35.8	40 37.0	46 41.5	83 37.2
DISAGREE	14 2.7	7 3.1	6 2.5	2 1.6	8 4.2	4 2.1	4 1.7	1 1.0	4 1.9	9 3.9	2 3.9	2 4.7	1.7	5 4.4	4 3.7	8 3.8
STRONGLY DISAGREE	3 0.6	1.0	0.4	-	3 1.8	-	-	-	-	3 1.4	_	2 3.8 A	1.6	0.8	-	3 1.2
TOTAL AGREE	483 96.6	230 96.0	251 97.2	132 98.4	172 94.0	179 97.9 E	261 98.3 JL	73 99.0 L	189 98.1 L	222 94.7	40 96.1	43 91.5	35 96.7	103 94.8	106 96.3	212 95.0
TOTAL DISAGREE	17 3.4	10 4.0	7 2.8	1.6	11 6.0	4 F 2.1	4 1.7	1.0	4 1.9	12 5.3 G	2 3.9	4 8.5 GHI	1 3.3	6 5.2	4 3.7	11 5.0

4D. DO YOU THINK SCHOOLS SHOULD PROVIDE PROGRAMMING (E.G. DRUG AND ALCOHOL COUNSELLING) TO SUPPORT STUDENTS WHO ARE HAVING PROBLEMS WITH SUBSTANCE USE?

		GENDER	AGE			PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT		
		LE FEMALE BC	18-34 35-54 55+ DEF	TOTAL MV COVGH	OTHER OTHER MV BCI	ISLAND CRD /COAST KL	SOUTH NORTH INT. MN	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500 2	256	71 234 195	270 81	189 230	40 42	40 108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 2	258	134 183 183	266 73	192 234	42 47	36 109	110	223
	100.0 100	0.0 100.0	100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0	100.0	100.0
STRONGLY AGREE		23 159 1 61.8 I	87 110 88 64.5 F 60.0 F 47.7	167 45 63.0 JN 61.5 N	122 116 63.6 JN 49.6	22 23 52.5 49.6	21 50 56.2 46.2	69 62.8	136 61.0
AGREE	184	98 85	48 60 77	88 26	62 96	16 15	14 50	35	74
	36.8 40	0.8 33.1	35.5 32.7 41.8	33.1 35.0	32.4 40.9	38.8 32.6	39.3 46.0 GI	31.6	33.3
DISAGREE	20	12 8	- 8 12	6 1	5 14	3 5	- 6	2	6
	4.0	5.0 3.1	4.5 6.4	2.3 1.8	2.6 5.9 G	6.3 11.3 GHIA	5.3	2.1	2.6
STRONGLY DISAGREE	12	7 5	- 5 7	4 1	3 8	1 3	2 3	4	7
	2.5	3.1 1.9	2.8 4.0	1.5 1.7	1.4 3.6	2.4 6.6 GI	4.5 2.5	3.5	3.1
TOTAL AGREE	468 2	20 245	134 169 164	255 71	185 212	38 39	35 100	104	210
	93.5 L 91	9 94.9	100.0 EF92.7 89.5	96.1 JL 96.6 L	96.0 JL 90.5	91.3 82.2	95.5 92.2	94.4	94.3
TOTAL DISAGREE	32	19 13	- 13 19	10 3	8 22	4 8	2 8	6	13
	6.5 8	3.1 5.1	7.3 10.5	3.9 3.4	4.0 9.5 GI	17.8 GHIA	4.5 7.8	5.6	5.7

4E. PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS FOR CREATING NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES AIMED AT PREVENTING YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA.

A. AS WITH ALCOHOL, YOUTH WILL NEED TO RECEIVE HEALTH INFORMATION RELATED TO MARIJUANA USE BEFORE THEY REACH THE LEGAL AGE FOR USING THAT SUBSTANCE. BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY

		CEI	NDER		AGE					REG	SION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D	35-54	55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTION0	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	426	194	230	56	198	172	233	66	167	193	33	36	35	89	83	180
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	422 100.0	191 100.0	229 100.0	106 100.0	155 100.0	162 100.0	226 100.0	59 100.0	168 100.0	196 100.0	34 100.0	41 100.0	32 100.0	89 100.0	88 100.0	181 100.0
AGREE	412 97.5	188 98.2	222 97.0	104 98.3	150 97.3	158 97.3	220 97.1	57 96.5	163 97.2	192 98.1	34 100.0	40 97.5	32 100.0	86 97.0	83 94.0	174 95.8
DISAGREE	0.7	0.5	0.8	-	0.6	1.2	3 1.3	-	3 1.7	-	-	-	-	-	3 3.2 A	3 1.6
DON'T KNOW	7 1.8	2 1.3	5 2.2	2 1.7	3 2.1	2 1.5	4 1.7	2 3.5	2 1.0	4 1.9	-	1 2.5	-	3 3.0	2 2.8	5 2.6

4E. PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS FOR CREATING NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES AIMED AT PREVENTING YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA.

B. AS WITH TOBACCO, I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE RESPIRATORY AND RELATED HARMS WHICH COULD RESULT IF YOUTH CHOOSE TO SMOKE MARIJUANA AS OPPOSED TO INGESTING IT THROUGH OTHER MEANS.

BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY

		GEN	DED		AGE					REG	ION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE	FEMALE	18-34 D	35-54 E		TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	426	194	230	56	198	172	233	66	167	193	33	36	35	89	83	180
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	422	191	229	106	155	162	226	59	168	196	34	41	32	89	88	181
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
AGREE	329	140	188	71	125	133	178	50	128	151	25	34	25	67	61	140
	77.8	73.0	82.1 B	67.2	80.6 I	D 82.1 D	78.6	85.6	76.1	77.0	72.6	82.0	80.4	75.2	69.4	77.0
DISAGREE	43	27	16	18	15	9	20	1	19	22	4	4	4	11	12	19
	10.1 H	13.9 C	7.0	16.9 F	9.9	5.8	9.0	2.0	11.5 н	11.3 н	11.0	9.6	12.1 н	12.0 н	13.2	10.6
DON'T KNOW	51	25	25	17	15	20	28	7	21	23	6	3	2	11	15	22
	12.1	13.1	11.0	15.9	9.5	12.1	12.4	12.4	12.4	11.7	16.3	8.4	7.6	12.8	17.4	12.4

4E. PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS FOR CREATING NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES AIMED AT PREVENTING YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA.

C. I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF MARIJUANA ON ONGOING BRAIN DEVELOPMENT, WHICH EXPERTS ADVISE REMAINS ONGOING UNTIL APPROXIMATELY AGE 25. BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY

			GENDER AGE								REG	SION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
		TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D		-	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTION0	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INT	ERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	426	194	230	56	198	172	233	66	167	193	33	36	35	89	83	180
TOTAL INT	ERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	422 100.0	191 100.0	229 100.0	106 100.0	155 100.0	162 100.0	226 100.0	59 100.0	168 100.0	196 100.0	34 100.0	41 100.0	32 100.0	89 100.0	88 100.0	181 100.0
AGREE		339 80.2	140 73.3	199 86.6 BA	83 79.0	128 83.1	127 78.3	185 81.8	50 85.5	135 80.5	154 78.4	28 80.2	32 79.2	27 86.7	66 74.4	70 78.9	142 78.2
DISAGREE		25 5.8	16 8.6 (7 3.2	7 6.2	10 6.7	8 4.8	12 5.3	-	12 7.1	13 6.5	2 5.8	2 4.9	4.1	7 8.4	3 2.9	10 5.6
DON'T KNO	W	59 13.9	35 18.2 (23 10.1	16 14.8	16 10.2	27 16.9	29 12.9	9 14.5	21 12.4	30 15.1	5 14.1	7 15.9	3 9.1	15 17.2	16 18.2	29 16.1

4E. PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS FOR CREATING NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES AIMED AT PREVENTING YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA.

D. I AM CONCERNED THAT WE STILL DON'T UNDERSTAND ENOUGH ABOUT THE POTENTIAL HARWS AND BENEFITS OF MARIJUANA DUE TO A LACK OF RESEARCH. UNTIL THAT RESEARCH EXISTS, SOCIETY SHOULD DISCOURAGE YOUTH FROM CONSUMING IT.

BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY

		GENDER AGE								REC	SION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D	35-54		TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTION P
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	426	194	230	56	198	172	233	66	167	193	33	36	35	89	83	180
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	422	191	229	106	155	162	226	59	168	196	34	41	32	89	88	181
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
AGREE	285	124	161	71	105	109	157	41	117	127	22	24	25	57	49	118
	67.5 o	64.8	70.2	67.5	67.6	67.3	69.5	69.6	69.5	65.1	63.4	57.4	78.8	64.4	55.5	65.0
DISAGREE	86	41	44	25	31	30	47	12	35	40	9	13	3	15	21	4 <u>1</u>
	20.5	21.6	19.3	23.9	20.3	18.4	20.6	20.7	20.6	20.2	25.0	31.9 м	8.8	17.0	23.7	22.8
DON'T KNOW	51	26	24	9	19	23	22	6	16	29	4	4	4	16	18	22
	12.1	13.6	10.5	8.6	12.1	14.3	9.8	9.8	9.8	14.7	11.7	10.6	12.4	18.5 GI	20.8 A	12.2

4E. PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS FOR CREATING NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES AIMED AT PREVENTING YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA.

E. OTHER JURISDICTIONS LIKE OREGON WHICH HAVE LEGALIZED MARIJUANA HAVE CREATED SPECIAL NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES TO PREVENT YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA RECREATIONALLY, AND WE SHOULD LEARN FROM THEIR EXPERIENCE WITH THIS.

BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY

		GENDE	ED		AGE					REC	GION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE F	FEMALE C	18-34 D		55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTION0	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	426	194	230	56	198	172	233	66	167	193	33	36	35	89	83	180
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	422 100.0	191 100.0 1	229 100.0	106 100.0	155 100.0	162 100.0	226 100.0	59 100.0	168 100.0	196 100.0	34 100.0	41 100.0	32 100.0	89 100.0	88 100.0	181 100.0
AGREE	397 93.9	180 94.0	215 93.8	98 92.7	143 92.5	156 96.0	209 92.3	53 89.6	156 93.2	188 95.8	34 97.7	40 97.5	32 100.0 н	82 92.8	83 94.7	169 93.3
DISAGREE	6 1.5	5 2.9 C	1 0.4	2 2.1	1 0.4	4 2.2	5 2.0	1 1.3	4 2.3	2 1.0	-	-	-	2 2.1	2 2.5	4 2.1
DON'T KNOW	19 4.6	6 3.1	13 5.8	5 5.2	11 7.1	3 = 1.8	13 5.7	5 9.1	8 4.5	6 3.2	1 2.3	1 2.5	-	5 5.1	3 2.9	8 4.6

4E. PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS FOR CREATING NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES AIMED AT PREVENTING YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA.

F. ANY OTHER REASONS?
BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY

		CE	NDER		AGE					REC	SION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D		55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTION0	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	426	194	230	56	198	172	233	66	167	193	33	36	35	89	83	180
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	422 100.0	191 100.0	229 100.0	106 100.0	155 100.0	162 100.0	226 100.0	59 100.0	168 100.0	196 100.0	34 100.0	41 100.0	32 100.0	89 100.0	88 100.0	181 100.0
IMPAIRMENT CONCERNS (I.E. DRIVING, ACADEMIC, WORK)	13 3.1	7 3.5	6 2.8	1.7	7 4.4	5 2.8	8 3.5	1.3	7 4.3	5 2.7	3.0	-	4.5	3 3.1	1 1.6	4 2.1
DON'T BELIEVE THAT ILLICIT DRUGS SHOULD BE LEGALIZED (OTHER THAN FOR MEDICAL TREATMENTS)	10 2.5	3 1.5	8 3.3	2 1.7	5 3.1	4 2.4	5 2.2	0.8	5 2.7	5 2.7	3.0	-	2 7.4	2.2	2.0	3 1.8
CONCERNED ABOUT ADDICTION / GATEWAY TO HARDER DRUGS	10 2.4	1.2	8 3.5	-	6 3.7	5 2.9	5 2.0	2.1	3 2.0	6 2.9	-	2 5.1	2 5.4	2.2	4 4.1	6 3.2
CONCERNED ABOUT THE SUPPLY CHAIN / CRIMINAL ASPECT	8 2.0	3 1.6	5 2.3	2 1.7	5 3.0	2 1.2	5 2.4	3.0	4 2.1	3 1.5	-	2.8	-	2.0	4 4.3	6 3.1
NEGATIVE PERSONAL EXPERIENCE WITH RECREATIONAL MARIJUANA USE/USERS	8 1.8	4 2.3	3 1.5	2 2.1	4 2.4	1.2	7 3.0	1.3	6 3.6 J	0.5	2.9	-	-	-	2 2.5	5 2.9
NO OTHER REASON	382 90.5	175 91.4	206 89.7	98 92.9	135 87.4	149 91.8	204 89.9	55 94.1	148 88.5	179 91.2	31 91.2	39 94.9	28 88.1	80 90.6	79 90.2	164 90.2

4F. RETURNING TO ALL THE ANSWERS YOU SELECTED, WHICH ONE RESPONSE IS THE MOST COMPELLING REASON TO YOU FOR HAVING SPECIAL NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES TO PREVENT YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA RECREATIONALLY?

BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY

		Œ	IDER		AGE					REG	ION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE C	18-34 D	35-54	55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTION
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	426	194	230	56	198	172	233	66	167	193	33	36	35	89	83	180
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	422	191	229	106	155	162	226	59	168	196	34	41	32	89	88	181
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF MARIJUANA ON ONGOING BRAIN DEVELOPMENT, WHICH EXPERTS ADVISE REMAINS ONGOING UNTIL APPROXIMATELY AGE 25.	143	53	89	34	57	52	77	15	63	65	13	11	11	31	24	62
	33.8	27.8	39.0 в	31.8	36.9	32.0	34.2	25.2	37.4	33.3	37.3	26.6	33.7	34.6	27.7	34.0
AS WITH ALCOHOL, YOUTH WILL NEED TO RECEIVE HEALTH INFORMATION RELATED TO MARIJUANA USE BEFORE THEY REACH THE LEGAL AGE FOR USING THAT SUBSTANCE.	85	47	37	27	29	29	41	14	28	44	9	7	5	22	17	34
	20.2	24.6 C	2 16.2	25.8	18.6	18.0	18.3	23.5	16.5	22.3	27.2	17.9	15.8	24.8	18.8	18.8
I AM CONCERNED THAT WE STILL DON'T UNDERSTAND ENOUGH ABOUT THE POTENTIAL HARMS AND BENEFITS OF MARIJUANA DUE TO A LACK OF RESEARCH. UNTIL THAT RESEARCH EXISTS, SOCIETY SHOULD DISCOURAGE YOUTH FROM CONSUMING IT.	73 17.3	32 16.8	41 17.8	15 14.0	24 15.8	34 20.8	48 21.0 J	13 22.9	34 20.4	25 13.0	6 16.7	8.3	5 15.0	12 13.0	16 17.8	27 14.8
OTHER JURISDICTIONS LIKE OREGON WHICH HAVE LEGALIZED MARIJUANA HAVE CREATED SPECIAL NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES TO PREVENT YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA RECREATIONALLY, AND WE SHOULD LEARN FROM THEIR EXPERIENCE WITH THIS.	71	37	33	19	29	23	32	8	24	39	5	12	8	13	20	37
	16.9	19.4	14.5	18.0	18.6	14.5	14.2	13.0	14.6	20.0	15.9	29.2 GHI	26.1	15.1	22.4	20.4
AS WITH TOBACCO, I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE RESPIRATORY AND RELATED HARMS WHICH COULD RESULT IF YOUTH CHOOSE TO SYDKE MARIJUANA AS OPPOSED TO INGESTING IT THROUGH OTHER MEANS.	27	17	10	4	9	14	13	5	8	14	1	5	1	7	5	12
	6.5	9.1	4.4	3.7	5.9	8.9	5.8	8.9	4.8	7.3	2.9	11.0	4.6	8.3	5.5	6.4

(CONTINUED)

4F. RETURNING TO ALL THE ANSWERS YOU SELECTED, WHICH ONE RESPONSE IS THE MOST COMPELLING REASON TO YOU FOR HAVING SPECIAL NEW PROGRAMS OR POLICIES TO PREVENT YOUTH (AGE 12 TO 21) FROM USING LEGAL MARIJUANA RECREATIONALLY?

BASE: MEDIUM/HIGH PRIORITY

		Œ	NDER		AGE					REG	SION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D	35-54		TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTION0	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	426	194	230	56	198	172	233	66	167	193	33	36	35	89	83	180
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	422 100.0	191 100.0	229 100.0	106 100.0	155 100.0	162 100.0	226 100.0	59 100.0	168 100.0	196 100.0	34 100.0	41 100.0	32 100.0	89 100.0	88 100.0	181 100.0
OTHER	17 4.0	3 1.5	14 6.1 в	4 3.5	6 3.6	7 4.6	9 4.2	1 2.2	8 4.9	7 3.7	-	3 7.1	1 4.8	3 3.2	7 7.8	9 4.8
UNDECIDED	6 1.5	0.7	5 2.1	3 3.1	0.7	2 1.1	5 2.3	3 4.4 J	3 1.6	1 0.5	-	-	-	1 1.1	-	1 0.8

5. HAVE YOU PERSONALLY EVER USED MARIJUANA?

		GENDER AGE								REG	ION				PERSONAL/	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D			TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	IMM. FAMILY ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	240 100.0	258 100.0	134 100.0	183 100.0	183 100.0	266 100.0	73 100.0	192 100.0	234 100.0	42 100.0	47 100.0	36 100.0	109 100.0	110 100.0	223 100.0
NEVER TRIED	157 31.3 BLC	56 OP23.6	100 38.8 BA	37 27.6	54 29.8	65 35.5	89 33.7 L	20 27.5	69 36.0 KL	67 28.6	8 19.7	8 16.5	17 45.3 JKI	34 - 31.8	14 12.9	46 20.4
TRIED ONCE/RARELY USED	188 37.7 D	94 39.4	92 35.8	33 24.8	74 40.4 I	81 D 44.4 D	99 37.4	30 41.2	69 36.0	89 38.0	19 45.0	19 39.7	15 40.4	37 33.7	38 34.7	77 34.3
USE OCCASIONALLY	91 18.2	51 21.1	40 15.4	37 27.6 F	32 = 17.3	23 12.3	46 17.2	13 17.6	33 17.1	45 19.3	9 22.0	11 24.0 M	6.8	22 20.5 м	28 25.3	54 24.2
USE REGULARLY	34 6.7 FH	22 9.2 c	12 4.5	19 13.8 E	11 EFA6.1	4 F 2.2	12 4.6	0 0.6	12 6.2 н	21 9.1 сн	3 6.6	6 13.0 сн	3 7.4 н	10 9.0 н	22 20.3 A	28 12.3 A
RATHER NOT SAY	30 6.0	16 6.7	14 5.5	8 6.3	12 6.4	10 5.6	19 7.1	10 13.1 IJN	9 4 4.8	11 4.9	3 6.7	3 6.8	-	5 5.0	7 6.8	19 8.6

6. WHAT IS THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION YOU HAVE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO COMPLETE?

		CT.	IDED		465					REG	ION				PERSONAL/	ANY EXP.
	TOTAL A	MALE B	IDER FEMALEC	18-34 D	AGE 35-54 E		TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	IMM. FAMILY ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	W/ ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HIGH SCHOOL OR LESS	64	32	32	22	20	22	45	13	32	19	3	3	5	8	18	34
	12.9	13.4	12.6	16.5	10.8	12.3	16.9 JN	18.2 JN	16.4 JN	8.3	6.0	6.7	14.4	7.8	16.1	15.2
TECHNICAL OR VOCATIONAL SCHOOL	83	56	27	22	27	33	41	9	32	43	6	7	7	23	23	40
	16.6 C	23.2 (A 10.3	16.7	15.0	18.2	15.3	11.7	16.6	18.2	13.2	13.9	19.9	21.4	21.3	18.1
UNIVERSITY DEGREE OR COLLEGE	262	112	150	75	97	90	129	32	97	133	24	25	21	62	48	107
DIPLOMA	52.4	46.8	58.1 в	55.8	53.0	49.3	48.7	43.5	50.6	56.6 н	56.0	53.9	58.2	57.5	43.3	48.0
POST-GRADUATE DEGREE	81	38	42	13	37	31	45	16	29	36	9	12	3	12	18	37
	16.2	15.9	16.3	9.8	20.2	D 16.8	17.0	22.5 MN	14.9	15.2	22.4	25.5 MN	7.4	10.6	16.3	16.4
PREFER NOT TO SAY	10 1.9	0.8	7 2.7	2 1.2	2 1.0	6 3.4	6 2.2	3 4.1	3 1.4	4 1.7	1 2.3	-	-	3 2.7	3 3.0	5 2.3

7. WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBE YOUR CURRENT HOUSEHOLD?

		CEN	NDER		AGE					REGIO	ON				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	FEMALE	18-34 D	35-54 E	55+ F	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	INM. PAVILLY ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500	240	258	134	183	183	266	73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TOTAL ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD	331	157	173	89	78	165	164	51	112	167	35	38	15	78	68	129
	66.2 ⊡	IP 65.6	67.1	66.0 E	42.6	89.9 DE	A 61.6 M	70.0 м	58.4	71.4 GIM	83.7 GIM	A 81.3 GIMA	42.2	72.2 IM	61.7	57.9
SINGLE, NO CHILDREN	87	37	50	20	27	40	42	23	19	45	12	12	3	18	17	34
	17.4 I	15.4	19.5	14.7	14.9	21.9	16.0	31.6 GI	0.01010	19.1 I	28.4 IM	25.7 IM	7.7	16.5	15.4	15.1
COUPLE, NO CHILDREN	155	84	71	40	38	77	67	24	43	88	16	21	9	43	31	58
	31.0 EI	35.1	27.4	29.8	20.7	42.0 EA	25.1	32.6	22.3	37.6 GI	37.1 I	43.8 GI	23.6	39.7 GI	28.5	26.2
OTHER ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD	89	36	52	29	13	48	55	4	50	35	8	6	4	17	20	37
	17.8 EH	I 15.2	20.1	21.5 E	7.0	26.0 EA	20.5 н	5.8	26.1 нэ	LMN14.7 H	18.3 H	11.9	10.9	15.9 н	17.7	16.6
FAMILY	169	82	85	46	105	18	102	22	80	67	7	9	21	30	42	94
	33.8 Fk	L 34.4	32.9	34.0 F	57.4 [0F10.1	38.4 JKL	30.0	41.6 JK	LN 28.6	16.3	18.7	57.8 GH	JKL27.8	38.3	42.1 A
FAMILY WITH CHILDREN UNDER	90	45	45	38	51	2	52	14	38	39	5	6	14	15	22	52
12 YEARS OF AGE	18.1 F	18.6	17.4	28.2 F	A27.7 I	=A 1.0	19.4	18.9	19.6	16.5	11.5	12.3	37.1 GH	IJK13.4	20.0	23.3
FAMILY WITH TEENS OR ADULT CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD (12-21 YEARS OLD)	85 17.0 DF	40 16.6	44 17.2	8 5.9	60 33.1 t	17 DFA9.0	55 20.7 нэк	8 (L 11.0	47 24.4 нэ	30 KLA12.8	3 7.2	3 6.4	8 20.7	16 15.1	21 18.9	45 20.4

A. WHAT AREA OF THE PROVINCE DO YOU LIVE IN?

		Œ	NDED		AGE					REG	ION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE B	NDER FEMALEC	18-34 D	35-54		TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	INM. FAVILLY ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241	256	71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	240 100.0	258 100.0	134 100.0	183 100.0	183 100.0	266 100.0	73 100.0	192 100.0	234 100.0	42 100.0	47 100.0	36 100.0	109 100.0	110 100.0	223 100.0
CITY OF VANCOUVER	73 14.7 F	38 15.9	35 13.7	36 26.5 I	22 EF11.8	16 8.8	73 27.6 A	73 100.0 GA	-	-	-	-	-	-	14 12.5	26 11.8
OTHER METRO VANCOUVER	192 38.4	90 37.4	101 39.1	53 39.4	79 43.3 I	60 F 32.9	192 72.4 A	-	192 100.0 GA	-	-	-	-	-	36 33.0	83 37.2
GREATER VICTORIA	42 8.4	17 7.3	25 9.5	9 7.1	15 8.0	18 9.8	-	-	-	42 18.0 A	42 100.0 JA	-	-	-	11 9.6	13 5.9
OTHER VANCOUVER ISLAND/SOUTH COAST	47 9.5	22 9.2	25 9.7	4 2.9	22 11.9 I	22 D 11.8 D	-	-	-	47 20.2 A	-	47 100.0 JA	-	-	13 11.6	27 11.9
NORTHERN INTERIOR (NORTH OF KAMLOOPS)	36 7.3	17 7.1	19 7.3	11 8.3	14 7.5	12 6.4	-	-	-	36 15.6 A	-	-	36 100.0 JA	-	9 8.2	21 9.4
SOUTHERN INTERIOR (KAMLOOPS AND SOUTH)	109 21.7	55 23.1	53 20.6	21 15.7	32 17.6	55 30.2 DE	- А	-	-	109 46.3 A	-	-	-	109 100.0 JA	27 25.0	53 23.7

B. YOUR GENDER:

		GENDER		AGE					REC	GION				PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT
	TOTAL A	MALE FEMA		1 35-54	-	TOTAL MV G	COV H	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTION	DRUG ADDICTION P
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	241 25	5 71	234	195	270	81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	240 25 100.0 100.		183 100.0	183 100.0	266 100.0	73 100.0	192 100.0	234 100.0	42 100.0	47 100.0	36 100.0	109 100.0	110 100.0	223 100.0
MALE	240 47.9	240 100.0 A	- 67 50.0	87 47.5	86 46.8	128 48.1	38 51.8	90 46.7	112 47.7	17 41.5	22 46.8	17 46.4	55 51.0	49 44.7	115 51.6
FEMALE	258 51.6	- 25 100.		94 51.6	97 52.7	136 51.3	35 48.2	101 52.5	122 51.9	25 58.5	25 53.2	19 51.3	53 49.0	59 53.7	106 47.6
OTHER	3 0.5	-		2 0.9	1 0.5	2 0.6	-	2 0.9	0.4	-	-	1 2.3	-	2 1.5	0.8

C. INTO WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING AGE GROUPS DO YOU FALL?

	GENI	DED	REGION							PERSONAL/ IMM. FAMILY	ANY EXP. W/ ILLICIT	
	TOTAL MALE	FEMALE 18-34	AGE 85-54 55+ EF	TOTAL MV COVGH	OTHER MV I	OTHER BC J	CRD K	ISLAND /COAST L	NORTH M	SOUTH INT. N	ILLICIT DRUG ADDICTIONO	DRUG ADDICTIONP
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500 241	256 71	234 195	270 81	189	230	40	42	40	108	103	220
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 240	258 134	183 183	266 73	192	234	42	47	36	109	110	223
	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0 10	0.0 100.0	100.0 100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
18-34	134 67 26.8 JL 28.0	67 134 26.0 100.0 A		89 36 33.3 JLN 48.6 0	53 IJKL27.5 L	46 19.5	9 22.6	4 8.3	11 30.5 L	21 19.5	43 39.0 A	71 31.8
35-44	83 40	43 -	83 -	52 15	37	31	7	5	7	12	18	45
	16.7 16.8	16.8 -	15.7 A	19.7 N 20.8	19.2	13.3	16.4	11.5	20.0	10.7	15.9	20.3
45-54	99 46	51 -	99 -	48 6	42	51	8	16	6	20	23	52
	19.8 н 19.4	19.8 !	64.3 A	18.2 H 8.6	21.9 н	21.7 н	18.2	34.4 GHNA	17.4	18.9 н	20.7	23.2
55-64	86 41	44 -	- 86	38 7	31	49	9	10	6	24	12	29
	17.3 17.2	17.1	47.1 A	14.1 8.9	16.1	20.8 с н	21.3	21.6 н	15.9	21.9 н	11.1	13.0
65+	97 45	52 -	- 97	39 10	29	58	9	11	6	32	15	26
	19.4 P 18.6	20.3	52.9 A	14.7 13.1	15.3	24.7 GHI	21.5	24.2	16.1	29.1 GHIA	13.3	11.7

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERVIEWS

	TOTAL ACTUAL	TOTAL WEIGHTED
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	500
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	500 100.0
REGION		
GREATER VICTORIA	40 8.0	42 8.4
OTHER VANCOUVER ISLAND/SOUTH COAST	42 8.4	47 9.5
NORTHERN INTERIOR (NORTH OF KAMLOOPS)	40 8.0	36 7.3
SOUTHERN INTERIOR (KAMLOOPS AND SOUTH)	108 21.6	109 21.7
CITY OF VANCOUVER	81 16.2	73 14.7
OTHER METRO VANCOUVER	189 37.8	192 38.4

(CONTINUED)

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERVIEWS

	TOTAL ACTUAL	TOTAL WEIGHTEL
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (ACTUAL)	500	500
TOTAL INTERVIEWS (WEIGHTED)	500 100.0	500 100.0
GENDER		
MALE	241 48.2	240 47.9
FEMALE	256 51.2	258 51.6
OTHER	3 0.6	3 0.5
AGE		
18-34	71 14.2	134 26.8
35-44	125 25.0	83 16.7
45-54	109 21.8	99 19.8
55-64	92 18.4	86 17.3
65+	103 20.6	97 19.4